



DATE: **MARCH 1, 2022**

TIME: **6:30 p.m.**

MEETING: Capital Planning Steering Committee Meeting

LOCATION: Hattie Mae White
4400 W. 18th St.
Houston, TX 77092

DATE: March 1, 2022

TIME: 6:30 p.m.

PRESENT:

Capital Planning Steering Committee (CPSC)

- Marie Anstead (District 5) (Virtual)
- Brian Barragy (District 5)
- Gusta Booker III (District 4) (Virtual)
- Rebecca Briscoe (District 5) (Virtual)
- Tanya Debose (District 2)
- Marc Flores (District 1)
- Alana Holmes (District 1) (Virtual)
- Craig Johnson (District 9)
- Michael Lunceford (District 5)
- Allison Marshall (District 1)
- Josephine Rice (District 2)
- Abbey Roberson (District 7) (Virtual)
- Sandra Rodriguez (District 6)
- Roger Soto (District 5)
- Rob Wade (District 7)
- Josh Wallenstein (District 6)
- Timothy Williams (District 8)
- Danny David (District 7)

Houston Independent School District (HISD)

- Wanda Paul, Chief Operating Officer
- Andreas Peeples, Officer Construction Services
- Eugene Salazar, Operations Administrative Officer
- Eric Ford, Construction Services
- Alejandro Banegas, Multimedia Manager
- Larry Leonard, Media Relations Specialist
- EJ Lucas, Senior Writer
- Precilla Reyes, Exec Admin Assistant
- Kathy Allen, Construction Services
- Bridget Ward, Construction Services
- Jim Rice, Rice & Gardner
- Ian Powell, PBK Architects
- Amanda Andrus, Rice & Gardner
- Caroline Harris, PBK Architects
- Alishia Jolivette, Facilities, Maintenance and Operations Officer

ABSENT:

- Janis Brackett (District 7)
- Sarah Castro (District 6)
- Emily Cole (District 3)
- Brittany Hyman (District 4)

The general purpose of the meeting is to introduce the Capital Planning Steering Committee to a collaborative effort on developing a bond program for the 2022 election. Related issues, questions, and activities were also discussed.

Item 1 Financial \$3.5B Refresh

Andreas Peeples, Officer of Construction Services, welcomed the Capital Planning Steering Committee (CPSC) and outlined the agenda for the evening which would include the following:

- Reviewing February’s CPSC budget workshop
 - \$5M in deferred maintenance
 - \$3.5B is the target/starting point for capital planning deliberations
- Making decisions on the four findings groups
- How the superintendent’s strategic initiatives will factor into the bond program
- Districtwide replacements
 - Roofs, chillers, parking lots, control systems, transportation
 - How will these replacements affect the FCI
- How to incorporate infrastructure that is not included in the proposed projects (athletic improvements, technology improvements, special education)

Item 2 Overview of Groups

Jim Rice and Ian Powell, of Rice & Gardner and PBK Architects respectively, invited committee members to offer their thoughts on the five findings groups and vote on the schools that would unanimously be approved for renovation of replacement based on their FCI, EAI, and utilization demographics.

Mr. Rice presented a spreadsheet of the findings groups and asked that the committee members identify the schools that they would like to either remove from the groups or reposition in terms of priority.

The schools within each group, represented the following:

Group 1

- All eight (8) campuses within this grouping had red in each grading category. Red FCI, Red EAI, and Red Utilization

Group 2

- The seven (7) campuses within this grouping had red in two categories and orange in the third.

Group 3

- The four (4) campuses within this grouping had red in one category and orange in another.

Group 4

Additionally, they were asked to brainstorm changes for group 5.

Chief Paul reminded the committee that as of 2022, there was a bond cycle missed (between 2012 and now) as a new bond must be considered every five to six years for a district the size of HISD. The continuous cycle must be maintained to avoid rising FCIs and ensure the cost of maintenance of a campus would remain low and lessen the impact to the O&M Budget.

The committee broke into two groups and then reassembled to discuss their findings.

Group A

Group A was in agreed on all the schools listed in groups 1-4 except for one elementary campus that had lower FCI than others within each group. Group A recommended to consider renovating a MS within the original list, instead of replacing it, and to add one MS, one HS, and two “Other” campuses.

Group A did not have time to look at campuses within group 5.

Group B

Group B agreed on the campuses presented within groups 1-3. In group 4, they proposed adding a wing to an ES that is projected to have an increase in enrollment over the next several years, instead of replacing the school. They also proposed moving the location of one ES across from its current location. The reasoning given was to give better access to the student on the East side of the major throughfare. Also recommended that two other elementary schools be removed from this program.

They also considered adding two other elementary campuses, two additional middle schools, and one “other” campus.

Group B also voiced their support of historic preservations.

Item 4 Closing Statements

Mr. Peebles closed out the meeting by saying that during HISD’s weekly meeting, their team would discuss next steps for how to approach group 5, districtwide initiatives, athletic improvements, and technology improvements. Additionally put together how both Group A & Bs proposals would impact the cost of the bond.