

MEMORANDUM

November 1, 2010

TO: School Board Members

FROM: Terry B. Grier, Ed.D.
Superintendent of Schools

SUBJECT: **FINAL 2010 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM RATINGS**

CONTACT: Carla Stevens, 713-556-6700

The Texas Education Agency released their decisions with regards to appeals sent on behalf of four district campuses, and the final 2010 state accountability system ratings have been determined. Of the four appeals, two were accepted and granted. The following table indicates how these results change the district's summary results.

Table 1: 2007 to 2010 Final TEA Ratings of HISD Schools

Rating	2007	2008	2009	2010
Exemplary	15	38	84	101
Recognized	69	119	121	107
Academically Acceptable	169	96	47	49
Academically Unacceptable	13	13	12	7
AEA: Academically Acceptable	7	8	12	16
AEA: Academically Unacceptable	2	2	0	0
Not Rated Due to Ike Provision			4	
Total	275	276	280	280

Janowski Elementary School and Milby High School were raised from *Academically Acceptable* to *Recognized*. The complete 2010 TEA Accountability System Final Report, revised to reflect these changes, is attached for your reference.



TBG

Attachments

- c: Superintendent's Direct Reports
- Chief School Officers
- School Improvement Officers
- Principals



RESEARCH

Educational Program Report

Texas Education Agency
Accountability System
Final Report
November 2010



2010 Board of Education

Greg Meyers
PRESIDENT

Paula M. Harris
FIRST VICE PRESIDENT

Diana Dávila
SECOND VICE PRESIDENT

Carol Mims Galloway
SECRETARY

Anna Eastman
ASSISTANT SECRETARY

Michael L. Lunceford
Lawrence Marshall
Harvin C. Moore
Manuel Rodríguez Jr.

Terry B. Grier, Ed.D.
SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Carla Stevens
ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT
DEPARTMENT OF RESEARCH AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Susan L. Walden, Ph.D.
Chih-Hsun Huang, Ed.D.
RESEARCH SPECIALIST

Michael Thomas
RESEARCH MANAGER

Houston Independent School District

Hattie Mae White Educational Support Center
4400 West 18th Street
Houston, Texas 77092-8501

Website: www.houstonisd.org

It is the policy of the Houston Independent School District not to discriminate on the basis of age, color, handicap or disability, ancestry, national origin, marital status, race, religion, sex, veteran status, or political affiliation in its educational or employment programs and activities.

TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS
2009–2010 SCHOOL YEAR
July 2010

Introduction

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) Accountability System is a method of evaluating school districts and schools with regard to their performance on certain student indicators, and of assigning an accountability rating based on that evaluation. The TEA Accountability System is based on an improvement model in which districts and campuses must meet either an absolute standard or an improvement standard for each accountability measure. The four possible standard classifications for districts and individual schools are Exemplary, Recognized, Academically Acceptable, or Academically Unacceptable.

The student performance indicators used to determine if absolute standards are met consist of current year spring Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS), including TAKS-Accommodated, passing rates and dropout or completion rates from the previous year. These indicators provide 35 possible performance variables that are employed to assess each campus and the district as a whole. The performance of all students and of the student groups of African American, Hispanic, White, and Economically Disadvantaged are employed in the process of assigning accountability ratings. These ratings are based on the lowest performance of any of the 35 possible measures. For schools and districts that exhibit Recognized, Academically Acceptable, and Academically Unacceptable performance on any of the yearly standards, it is possible to achieve the next higher level of accountability by meeting the required improvement component of the system. The TEA Accountability System Research Brief, available from the Houston Independent School District Department of Research and Accountability, describes the accountability model for the 2009–2010 school year.

From 1993 to 2002, the state’s accountability system rated schools based on the results from the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) and the annual dropout rate for grades 7–12. In 2004 with the change in the state criterion-referenced test to the TAKS, the TEA accountability system was significantly redesigned. **Table 1** presents the key facets of the TEA Accountability System for 2010. For comparison of the previous model to the redesigned model in 2004, see the TEA Accountability Ratings 2003–04 School Year Final Report (HISD, 2004).

Table 1: Key Facets of the TEA Accountability System: 2010	
Component	2010
Standard Rating Labels	Exemplary Recognized Academically Acceptable Academically Unacceptable
Evaluation of Assessment Subjects	All TAKS subjects tested (Reading/English Language Arts, Mathematics, Writing, Social Studies, and Science) for all students and each student group
Base Indicators for Determining Rating	TAKS percent Met Standard Completion Rate (for grades 9-12 Completers based on graduates and continuing students as a percent of the total class starting with the ninth-grade cohort four years earlier) Annual Dropout Rate (grades 7-8 only)
Accountability Subset of Students	All students tested on the campus who were enrolled in the <u>campus</u> as of the PEIMS fall enrollment date
Student Groups	All Students, African American, Hispanic, White, and Economically Disadvantaged

Standards for Base Indicators (Assessment Standards for TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated)	Exemplary (All Subjects ≥ 90) Recognized (TAKS $< 90\%$ and $\geq 80\%$) Academically Acceptable ($< 75\%$ and $\geq 70\%$ R/ELA, W, SS; $\geq 60\%$ Math, and $\geq 55\%$ Science) Academically Unacceptable ($< 70\%$ R/ELA, W, SS, $< 60\%$ Math, and $< 55\%$ Science)
Standards for Base Indicators (Dropout/Completion Rate)	Exemplary (Dropout Rate $\leq 1.8\%$ or Completion Rate $\geq 95\%$) Recognized (Dropout Rate $\leq 1.8\%$ or Completion Rate $< 95\%$ and $\geq 85\%$) Academically Acceptable (Dropout Rate $\leq 1.8\%$ or Completion Rate $< 85\%$ and $\geq 75\%$) Academically Unacceptable (Dropout rate $> 1.8\%$ or Completion Rate $< 75\%$)
Number of Performance Measures Used	The larger and more diverse the campus or district, the more measures apply - up to 35
Improvement Feature	Higher rating possible for Recognized, Academically Acceptable and Academically Unacceptable schools by meeting Required Improvement standards
Exceptions	Higher rating possible by using exceptions. The number of possible exceptions is based on the number of assessment measures.
Texas Projection Measure	Higher rating possible if number of students meeting standard plus number of students projected to meet standard at next high stakes grade meets accountability standard.

Modifications made from the 2009 accountability system to 2010 include the Academically Acceptable passing rates for math increased from 50% to 55%; and the passing rate for science increased from 45% to 50%. In addition, the TAKS standard for Recognized increased by 5 points to 80% for all subjects. The standard for the grade 7–8 Annual Dropout Rate increased in rigor by decreasing from 2.0% to 1.8%.

Findings

The results from the TEA 2010 Accountability Rating System analysis of the Houston Independent School District’s (HISD) indicators are presented. Comparison tables provide a summary of the TEA ratings for HISD schools for 17 years, 1993 through 2002 and 2004 through 2009. The 2010 TEA ratings of HISD schools are also presented by level, and also provided is a table of schools that were rated Academically Unacceptable and the reasons for that rating.

This report supersedes the TEA Accountability Ratings 2009–2010 Report produced in July 2010. This revision reflects the results of appeals submitted to the state regarding the ratings of four schools. Specifically, four appeals were submitted to TEA for the schools listed in **Table 2**. The appeals submitted for Janowski Elementary School and Milby High School were granted by TEA.

Table 2: 2010 TEA Accountability Rating Appeals

School	Initial Rating (appealed)	Rating After Appeal
Janowski Elementary School	Academically Acceptable	Recognized
Milby High School	Academically Acceptable	Recognized
Sharpstown High School	Academically Unacceptable	Academically Unacceptable
Texas Connections Academy	Not Rated	Not Rated

Tables detailing school-level results are provided. These tables are organized by level and provide both the 2009 and the 2010 TEA ratings together with the ratings that would have been assigned based solely on the campuses’ TAKS performance and the additional measure that was used to elevate the rating. A

listing of schools not rated for the 2009–2010 school year and five prior years’ matrices are included in this report.

District-Level Rating

TEA rated HISD as Academically Acceptable for 2010. The district is rated Academically Acceptable because of the African American student group on the Completion Rate. **Tables 3 and 4** show the count of district schools by rating categories. As the accountability system was significantly redesigned in 2004, the ratings are shown separately for the two systems.

Table 3: 1993 to 2002 TEA Ratings of HISD Schools (TAAS and Dropout)

Rating	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Exemplary	0	4	7	15	25	36	12	11	35	63
Recognized	4	8	26	60	50	62	23	76	89	106
Acceptable	186	220	174	162	169	158	218	166	136	81
Low-performing	55	6	35	9	10	8	18	17	2	21
Total	195	238	242	246	254	264	271	270	262	271

Table 4: 2004–2010 TEA Ratings of HISD Schools (TAKS, Dropout/Completion)

Rating	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Exemplary	9	6	15	15	38	84	101
Recognized	69	29	64	69	119	121	107
Academically Acceptable	185	204	159	169	96	47	49
Academically Unacceptable	14	31	32	13	13	12	7
AEA: Academically Acceptable		8	9	7	8	12	16
AEA: Academically Unacceptable		3	1	2	2	0	0
Not Rated due to Ike Provision						4	
Total	277	281	280	275	276	280	280

- In 2002, at the end of the previous TEA Accountability System that was based on TAAS passing rates and dropout rates, HISD had 63 Exemplary schools and 106 Recognized schools.
- When the original TEA Accountability System was first implemented in 1993, HISD had no schools receiving Exemplary status, only four schools rated as Recognized, and 55 rated as Low-Performing. For the first year of the new TEA Accountability System, HISD had 9 Exemplary schools, 69 Recognized schools, and 14 Academically Unacceptable schools. These results indicated that HISD had a much more academically sound baseline under this new system than it did under the original TEA Accountability System.
- From 2009 to 2010, the state raised the acceptable standards for math and science on the accountability system. The number of Exemplary schools increased from 84 to 101. The number of Recognized schools decreased from 121 to 107, while the number of Academically Unacceptable schools decreased from 12 to 7. Forty-nine HISD campuses were rated as Academically Acceptable schools in 2010 under the TEA Standard Accountability System.

- There were 16 alternative schools that were rated in 2010 under the Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) system, and all 16 were rated as AEA Academically Acceptable.

HISD Levels

- The number of schools from the Elementary School Office (ESO) rated as Exemplary or Recognized was 152 or 84 percent of all ESO campuses (see **Table 5**). Of 42 schools from the Middle School Office (MSO), 28, or 67 percent, were rated as Recognized or higher in 2010. For 2009–2010, 21 of the 38 campuses from the High School Office (HSO), or 55 percent, rated under the standard accountability system were rated Exemplary or Recognized.
- For the 2009–2010 school year, there were two ESO schools, one MSO school, and four HSO schools that received the rating of Academically Unacceptable.
- Sixteen campuses were rated under the AEA Accountability system, and all 16 campuses were rated as AEA Acceptable. An additional 14 alternative campuses did not receive a rating from TEA in 2009–2010.

Table 5: 2010 TEA Accountability Ratings by School Office

Level	Number Rated	Exemplary	Recognized	Academically Acceptable	Academically Unacceptable	AEA	Not Rated
Elementary	180	80	72	21	2	0	5
Middle	42	6	22	12	1	1	0
High	38	11	10	12	4	1	0
Alternative	34	4	3	4	0	14	9

Exemplary Schools

- As a result of the application of the TEA Standard Accountability System, 101 schools, including 80 from the Elementary School Office, 6 from the Middle School Office, and eleven from the High School Office were rated Exemplary for the 2009–2010 school year (see **Table 6**).
- Lyons, River Oaks, T.H. Rogers, and West University elementary schools, and DeBakey High School for Health Professions have received the Exemplary rating for six consecutive years.

Table 6: HISD Schools Receiving a TEA Accountability Rating of Exemplary, 2005–2010 by Academic Level						
Year	Elementary				Middle	High
2005	Lyons	River Oaks	T.H. Rogers	West Univ.	T.H. Rogers	DeBakey
2006	Bush	Kolter	Pugh	T.H. Rogers	None	DeBakey Carnegie
	Condit	Lyons	River Oaks	Sugar Grove		
	Cornelius	Pilgrim	Roberts	West Univ.		
	Kennedy					
2007	Bush	Horn	Oak Forest	T.H. Rogers	Energized	DeBakey Carnegie East Early
	Condit	Kolter	River Oaks	West Univ.		
	Cornelius	Lyons	Roberts			
2008	Allen	Horn	Lyons	Sugar Grove	Briar Meadow Energized Pin Oak Project Chrysalis T.H.Rogers	Carnegie DeBakey East Early Eastwood HSPVA
	Bush	Kennedy	Mading	T.H.Rogers		
	Condit	Kolter	Memorial	Rice School		
	Cornelius	Lantrip	Montgomery	TSU Lab		
	De Zavala	Lockhart	Oates	Twain		
	Harvard	Love	River Oaks	West Univ.		
	Henderson, JP	Lovett	Seguin	White		
2009	Alcott	Gallegos	Mading	Rusk	Briar Meadow Energized Pin Oak Project Chrysalis T.H.Rogers	Carnegie Challenge DeBakey East Early Eastwood International N. Hou. EC HSPVA
	Allen	Golfcrest	Martinez, C.	Scott		
	Alameda	Harris, R. P.	Martinez, R.	Scroggins		
	Benbrook	Henderson, J	McDade	Seguin		
	Briar Meadow	Henderson, N	Moreno,	Sherman		
	Browning	Highland Hts.	Neff	Sinclair		
	Burrus	Hohl	Oak Forest	Sugar Grove		
	Bush	Horn	Osborne	Sutton		
	Condit	Houston Gar.	Parker	TSU Lab		
	Cornelius	Kelso	Pt Houston	Turner		
	Crockett	Kennedy	Pugh	Twain		
	Daily, Ray	Ketelsen	Red	Valley West		
	De Chaumes	Kolter	Rhoads	Wainwright		
	De Zavala	Lantrip	Rice School	West Univ.		
	Dodson	Lockhart	River Oaks	Wharton		
	Durham	Looscan	Roberts	White		
	Field	Lovett	T. H. Rogers	Whittier		
	Fondren	Lyons	Roosevelt			
	2010	Alcott	Gallegos	Lyons		
Benbrook		Garden Villas	Mading	Scott		
Briar Meadow		Grissom	Martinez, R.	Scroggins		
Browning		Harris, J.R.	McDade	Seguin		
Burrus		Harris, R. P.	Milne	Sherman		
Bush		Hartsfield	Moreno	Sinclair		
Cage		Harvard	Oak Forest	Southmayd		
Codwell		Henderson, J.P.	Oates	St. George		
Condit		Henderson, N.	Osborne	Stevens		
Cook		Horn	Park Place	Sutton		
Coop		Hou. Gardens	Parker	TSU Charter		
Cornelius		Kashmere Gard.	Patterson	Twain		
Crawford		Kennedy	Pleasantville	Wesley		
Crockett		Ketelsen	Port Houston	West Univ.		
DeChaumes		Kolter	Pugh	White		
DeZavala		Lantrip	Rhoads	Whittier		
Dodson		Lockhart	River Oaks			
Eliot		Looscan	Roberts			
Field		Love	Rogers, T.H.			
Foster		Lovett	Ross			

Academically Unacceptable Schools

- As a result of the application of the TEA Standard Accountability System, seven schools were rated as Academically Unacceptable for 2010 (see **Table 7**).
- Two schools were rated Academically Unacceptable because of student group performance on the science TAKS, and three schools were rated Academically Unacceptable due to the completion rate indicator. Two additional schools were rated Academically Unacceptable due to actions by some campus staff that compromised the integrity of the results.
- Kashmere and Sharpstown high schools were rated as Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years, and Lee High School was rated Academically Unacceptable for three consecutive years.

Table 7: HISD Schools Rated as Academically Unacceptable by the 2010 TEA Accountability System by Reason

School	Rating		TAKS					Completion	Dropout
	2009	2010	Reading	Math	Writing	Social Studies	Science		
	Standard Accountability System								
Frost ES	R	U					T,A,E		
Kelso ES	E	U					T,E		
Key MS	R	U			Data Quality				
Jones HS	A	U					H		
Kashmere HS**	U	U			Data Quality				
Lee HS***	U	U					T,A,H,E		
Sharpstown HS**	U	U					T,A		

* Legend for Accountability Student Groups
 T = All Students (Total) H = Hispanic E = Economically Disadvantaged
 A = African American W = White

Legend for TEA Accountability Ratings
 E= Exemplary A= Academically Acceptable
 R= Recognized U= Academically Unacceptable
 **Academically Unacceptable for 2 consecutive years
 ***Academically Unacceptable for 3 consecutive years

**2010 TEA Accountability Ratings
Schools Not Rated**

Early Childhood and Special Education Centers Not Rated

Belfort Academy
Energized for Excellence ECC
Farias ECC
King ECC
Laurenzo ECC
Mistral ECC
Young Learners
Harper Alternative
HCC Life Skills

**Disciplinary Alternative Education Programs (DAEP) Not
Rated—Data attributed to home campus**

CEP SE
CEP SW
JJAEP
North Alternative E.S.
South Alternative E.S.

Legend for Campus Level Results Table

TAKS Measures	
–	Not Rated
E	Exemplary
R	Recognized (standard only)
R ¹	Recognized with Required Improvement
R ²	Recognized with TPM
R ³	Recognized with Exception
R [^]	Recognized (appeal granted)
A	Acceptable (standard only)
A ¹	Acceptable with Required Improvement
A ²	Acceptable with TPM
A ³	Acceptable with Exception
U	Unacceptable (standard only)
U ¹	Unacceptable with Required Improvement
U ²	Unacceptable with TPM
U ³	Unacceptable with Exception

Completion/Dropout Measures	
–	Not Rated
E	Exemplary
R	Recognized (standard only)
R ¹	Recognized with Required Improvement
A	Acceptable (standard only)
A ¹	Acceptable with Required Improvement
U	Unacceptable (standard only)
U ¹	Unacceptable with Required Improvement

For best results, view or print campus pages in color.

- Blue=indicator initially rated as Exemplary
- Green=indicator initially rated as Recognized
- Yellow or Orange=indicator initially rated as Academically Acceptable
- Red=indicator initially rated as Academically Unacceptable

X¹=used required improvement
 X²=used TPM
 X³=used exception

Appendix A
Preliminary TEA 2010 Accountability Ratings by School Office

^ received an appeal from TEA

School Office	Campus	Rating		Reading/ELA				Writing				Social Studies				Mathematics				Science				Annual Dropout/Comp Rate I												
		2009	2010	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.								
ES HISD		AA	AA	R ²	R ²	R ²	E	R ²	E	E	E	E	E	E	R ²	E	E	E	E	R	A ²	R	E	A ¹	R ²	A ¹	A ¹	E	A ¹	A ¹	A	A ¹	E	R		
ES Alcott ES		EX	EX	R ²	R ²	E	-	R ²	E	E	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	R ²	R ³	-	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ES Alameda ES		EX	Rec	R ²	E	R	-	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	R ²	R	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-			
ES Anderson ES		Rec	Rec	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	E	A ²	E	-	E	R ²	-	E	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ES Ashford ES		Rec	AA	R ²	R ²	A ²	E	R	R ²	R ²	R ²	E	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	R ²	A ²	R	E	A ²	R	A	-	E	A ¹	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ES Askew ES		AA	Rec	E	R ²	R ²	E	R ²	E	E	E	E	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	R ²	E	E	E	R ²	R	R	-	R	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ES Atherton ES		Rec	Rec	A ²	A ²	-	-	A ²	E	E	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	A ²	A ²	-	-	A ²	R	R	-	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ES Barrick ES		Rec	Rec	A ²	-	R	-	A ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	R	-	R ²	-	R	R	-	R	-	R	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ES Bastian ES		NR:IKE	Rec	R ²	R	R ²	-	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	A ¹	A ¹	R ²	-	A ¹	R ²	R ²	-	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ES Bell ES		Rec	Rec	R ²	R ²	A ²	-	R	E	E	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	E	E	R ²	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ES Bellfort Academy ES		Rec	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Benavidez ES		Rec	AA	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	R ²	-	E	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	R	-	R	-	R	A	-	A	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ES Benbrook ES		EX	EX	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Berry ES		Rec	Rec	A ¹	-	A ¹	-	A ¹	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	R	-	R	-	R	R	-	R ²	-	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Blackshear ES		Rec	AA	A	A	A ²	-	A	R ²	R ²	-	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	A ²	A ²	R	-	A ²	E	-	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Bonham ES		AA	Rec	A ¹	A ³	A ¹	-	A ¹	R ²	E	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	R ²	A ²	E	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Bonner ES		Rec	Rec	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	R	-	R	-	R	R	-	R	-	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Braeburn ES		AA	Rec	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	R	-	R	-	R	R	-	R	-	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Briargrove ES		Rec	Rec	E	-	E	E	E	E	-	E	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	R ²	E	A ¹	E	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Briar Meadow ES		EX	EX	E	-	E	E	R ²	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	E	E	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Briar Meadow MS		EX	EX	E	-	E	E	E	E	-	-	-	E	E	-	-	-	-	E	E	-	E	E	E	-	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Briscoe ES		Rec	Rec	R ²	-	R ²	-	A ¹	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	R	-	R	-	R	R	-	R	-	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Brookline ES		Rec	Rec	R	-	R	-	R	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Browning ES		EX	EX	E	-	E	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Bruce ES		Rec	AA	R	A ¹	R ²	-	R	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	R ²	A ²	E	-	R ²	A	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Burbank ES		Rec	Rec	R	-	R	-	R	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	R	-	R	-	R	R	-	R ²	-	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Burnet ES		Rec	Rec	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	R ²	-	R	-	R	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Burrus ES		EX	EX	E	E	E	-	E	E	-	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Bush ES		EX	EX	E	-	E	E	E	E	-	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	E	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Cage ES		Rec	EX	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Carrillo ES		Rec	Rec	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	R	-	A ²	-	A ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Codwell ES		Rec	EX	R ²	R ²	-	-	R ²	E	E	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	R ²	R ²	-	-	R ²	E	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Condit ES		EX	EX	E	-	E	E	E	E	-	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	E	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Cook ES ES		Rec	EX	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	E	E	R ²	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	R ²	E	-	E	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Coop ES		Rec	EX	E	-	E	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Cornelius ES		EX	EX	E	E	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Crawford ES		Rec	EX	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	R ²	-	E	-	R ²	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Red=initially rated Unacceptable
 Orange=initially rated Acceptable
 Green=initially rated Recognized

Blue=Exemplary

X¹=used required improvement
 X²=used TPM
 X³=used exception

Appendix A
Preliminary TEA 2010 Accountability Ratings by School Office

^ received an appeal from TEA

School Office	Campus	Rating		Reading/ELA				Writing				Social Studies				Mathematics				Science				Annual Dropout/Comp Rate I													
		2009	2010	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.									
ES Rucker ES		Rec	Rec	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	R	-	R	-	R	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Rusk Academy		EX	Rec	E	-	E	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	E	-	E	R	-	R ²	-	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Sanchez ES		Rec	EX	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	R ²	-	R ²	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Scarborough ES		Rec	Rec	A ²	-	A ¹	-	A ¹	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	A ¹	-	A ¹	-	A ¹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Scott ES		EX	EX	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	E	-	R ²	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Scroggins ES		EX	EX	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Seguin ES		EX	EX	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Shadowbriar ES		Rec	AA	R ²	R ²	A ²	E	R	R ²	R ²	R ²	E	R ²	-	-	-	-	R ²	A ²	R	E	A ²	R	A	-	E	A ¹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ES Shearn ES		Rec	Rec	A ¹	-	A ²	-	A ¹	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	R	-	A ¹	-	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Sherman ES		EX	EX	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Sinclair ES		EX	EX	R ²	-	R ²	E	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	E	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Smith, K. ES		Rec	Rec	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	E	E	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	R ²	R	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Southmayd ES		Rec	EX	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Stevens ES		Rec	EX	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Stevenson ES		Rec	Rec	E	-	E	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	R	-	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Sutton ES		EX	EX	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Thompson ES		Rec	Rec	R ²	R	-	-	R	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	R ²	R ²	-	-	R	R	R ²	-	-	-	A ¹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Tijerina ES		Rec	Rec	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	R	-	R	-	R	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Tinsley ES		Rec	AA	A	A ¹	U ²	-	A	A ²	R ²	U ²	-	A ²	-	-	-	-	A	A	A	-	A	A	A ¹	A	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Travis ES		Rec	Rec	E	-	R ²	E	R ²	E	-	E	E	E	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	E	E	E	-	R ²	E	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Twain ES		EX	EX	E	E	E	E	E	E	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	E	R ²	E	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Valley West ES		EX	Rec	E	E	R ²	-	R ²	E	E	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	E	E	R	-	E	E	E	A ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Wainwright ES		EX	AA	R ²	R	R	-	R	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	R	A ²	R	-	R	A	-	A	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Walnut Bend ES		AA	AA	R	R ²	A ²	E	A ¹	E	-	R ²	-	E	-	-	-	-	A ²	A ¹	A	E	A	A ²	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Wesley ES		Rec	EX	R ²	E	-	-	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	E	E	-	-	E	E	R ³	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES West University ES		EX	EX	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Wharton ES		EX	Rec	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	-	-	R	E	R	-	R	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Whidby ES		AA	Rec	R ²	R	R ²	-	R	E	E	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	R	A ²	E	-	A ²	R	A ¹	-	-	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES White ES		EX	EX	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Whittier ES		EX	EX	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Wilson ES		AA	AA	R ²	-	R	E	R	A ²	-	-	-	-	E	-	-	-	A ²	-	A ²	R ²	A	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Windsor Village ES		Rec	Rec	E	E	R ²	-	R ²	E	E	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	R ²	E	R	-	R ²	A ²	R	A ²	-	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ES Young ES		Rec	Rec	R	A ¹	-	-	R	R ²	R ²	-	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	A ¹	A ¹	-	-	A ¹	R ²	R ²	-	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MS Attucks MS		AU	AA	A ¹	A ¹	R ²	-	A ¹	E	E	R	-	E	E	R ²	E	-	E	A	A	R	-	A	A ²	U ²	A ¹	-	U ¹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MS Black MS		AA	Rec	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	E	R ²	E	-	E	A ¹	A ²	R	-	A ¹	A ²	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MS Burbank MS		Rec	EX	R ²	E	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MS Clifton MS		NR:IKE	Rec	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	-	E	E	E	E	E	R ²	R	E	R ²	R ²	R ²	R ²	R ²	R ²	R ²	E	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Red=initially rated Unacceptable
 Orange=initially rated Acceptable
 Green=initially rated Recognized

Blue=Exemplary

X¹=used required improvement
 X²=used TPM
 X³=used exception

Appendix A
Preliminary TEA 2010 Accountability Ratings by School Office

^ received an appeal from TEA

School Office	Campus	Rating		Reading/ELA				Writing				Social Studies				Mathematics				Science				Annual Dropout/Comp Rate I													
		2009	2010	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.									
MS Cullen MS		AA	AA	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	E	E	E	-	E	E	E	-	E	A	A	A ²	-	A	A ²	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Deady MS		AA	AA	A ¹	-	A ¹	-	A ¹	R	-	R	-	R	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	A ¹	-	A ¹	-	A ¹	U ²	-	U ²	-	U ²	A	-	A	-	-	-	A		
MS Dowling MS		AU	AA	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	E	E	E	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	A ²	A	A ²	-	A ²	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MS Edison MS		AA	Rec	A ¹	-	A ¹	-	A ¹	R	-	R	-	R	E	-	E	-	E	A ¹	-	A ¹	-	A ¹	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Fleming MS		AA	Rec	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	E	E	E	-	E	E	E	-	E	R ²	R	R ²	-	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MS Fondren MS		AU	Rec	A ¹	R ²	A ¹	-	A ¹	R	R	R	-	R	E	E	-	E	A ¹	A ²	A ¹	-	A ¹	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Fonville MS		Rec	Rec	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	R	-	R	-	R	E	-	E	-	E	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	A	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Grady MS		AA	Rec	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	-	E	E	-	E	E	R ²	R	R	E	R	A ²	-	A ²	R ²	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Hamilton MS		Rec	Rec	E	E	E	E	R ²	E	E	E	-	E	E	E	E	E	R	R ²	R	E	A ²	R ²	R ²	R ²	E	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Hartman MS		AA	Rec	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	E	E	E	-	E	E	E	-	E	R	A ¹	R ²	-	R ²	A ¹	A ²	A ¹	-	A ¹	A	-	A	-	-	-	-	A		
MS Henry MS		AA	AA	A ¹	A ¹	A ¹	-	A ¹	R	-	R	-	R	E	-	E	-	E	A ¹	A	A ¹	-	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Hogg MS		AA	Rec	A ²	R ²	A ²	-	A ²	E	-	E	-	E	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	A ¹	A ¹	A ¹	-	A ¹	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Holland MS		AA	Rec	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	E	E	E	-	E	E	E	-	E	A ²	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Jackson MS		AA	Rec	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	A ¹	-	A ¹	-	A ¹	A	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Johnston MS		Rec	EX	E	E	R ²	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	R ²	E	R ²	E	E	R ²	E	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Kaleidoscope MS		Rec	EX	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	E	-	E	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Key MS		Rec	AU	U ²	U ²	U ²	-	U ²	R	R	R	-	R	A ²	U ²	R ²	-	U ²	U ²	U ²	-	U ²	U ²	U ²	-	U ²	U ²	U ²	U ²	U ²	U ²	U ²	U ²	U ²	U ²	U ²	
MS Lanier MS		Rec	Rec	E	E	E	E	R ²	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	R ²	R ²	A ²	E	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MS Long MS		AA	Rec	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	R	-	R	-	R	E	-	E	-	E	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	A	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Marshall MS		AA	AA	A ¹	A ¹	A ¹	-	A ²	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	R	A ²	R	-	A ¹	A ²	-	A ²	-	U ¹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MS McReynolds MS		Rec	Rec	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	R	-	R	-	A ¹	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MS Ortiz MS		AA	AA	A ²	R ²	A ²	-	A ²	E	E	E	-	E	E	E	-	E	A ¹	A	A ¹	-	A ¹	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Pershing MS		AA	Rec	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	R ²	R ²	E	R ²	R ²	A ¹	A ¹	E	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Pin Oak MS		EX	EX	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E
MS Revere MS		Rec	Rec	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	E	R	E	-	E	E	E	-	E	R ²	R	R ²	-	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MS Ryan MS		AU	AA	R ²	R ²	A ¹	-	R ²	E	E	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	A ²	A	A ¹	-	A	A ¹	A ¹	-	A ²	-	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MS Sharpstown MS		AA	Rec	R ²	R ²	A ¹	-	A ¹	E	E	E	-	E	E	E	-	E	R	A ¹	A ²	-	R	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MS Smith, E.O. ES		AA	AA	E	-	-	-	E	U ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	-	-	E	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Smith, E.O. MS		AU	AA	U ²	U ²	U ²	-	U ²	A	A	A	-	A	R ²	A ¹	-	-	R ²	A	U ²	A	-	A	U ²	U ²	-	U ¹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Stevenson MS		Rec	EX	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Sugar Grove ES		EX	Rec	R ²	E	R ²	-	R ²	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	A ²	R ²	A ²	-	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Thomas MS		AA	AA	A ¹	A ¹	A ²	-	A ¹	R	R	A ¹	-	R	E	E	-	E	A ²	A ¹	A	-	A ²	A ²	A ²	A	-	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Welch MS		AA	Rec	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	E	E	R	-	E	E	E	-	E	A ²	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS West Briar MS		Rec	Rec	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	R ²	R	R ²	E	R	R ²	A ²	A ²	E	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Williams MS		AA	Rec	A ¹	R ²	A ¹	-	A ¹	R	R	R	-	R	E	E	-	E	A ²	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	A ¹	A ¹	A ¹	-	A ¹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Woodson K-8		AA	EX	E	E	-	-	E	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	-	-	E	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MS Woodson MS		AA	AA	A ²	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	E	R	-	-	E	R ²	R ²	-	-	E	A	A	A ¹	-	A	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Red=initially rated Unacceptable
 Orange=initially rated Acceptable
 Green=initially rated Recognized

Blue=Exemplary

X¹=used required improvement
 X²=used TPM
 X³=used exception

Appendix A
Preliminary TEA 2010 Accountability Ratings by School Office

^ received an appeal from TEA

School Office	Campus	Rating		Reading/ELA				Writing				Social Studies				Mathematics				Science				Annual Dropout/Comp Rate									
		2009	2010	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.					
HS Austin HS		Rec	Rec	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	A ¹	-	A ¹	-	A ¹	A ¹	-	A ¹	-	A ¹	R	-	R	-	R
HS Bellaire HS		Rec	Rec	E	E	R ²	E	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	E	E	R ²	A ²	A ²	E	A ²	R ²	A ¹	A ¹	E	A ¹	R	R	R	E	R
HS Carnegie Vanguard HS		EX	EX	E	E	E	E	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	-	-	-	-	-
HS Challenge HS		EX	EX	E	E	E	E	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	-	-	-	-	-
HS Chavez HS		AA	AA	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	A ¹	A ²	A ¹	-	A ¹	A ¹	A ²	A ¹	-	A ¹	A	A	A	-	R
HS Davis HS		Rec	AA	R ²	E	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	A ²	U ²	A ²	-	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	R	A	R	-	R
HS DeBakey HSHP		EX	EX	E	E	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	E	E	-	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	-	-	-	-	-
HS East Early College HS		EX	EX	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-
HS Eastwood		EX	EX	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-
HS Empowerment Prep HS		Rec	EX	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HS Furr HS		Rec	Rec	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	A ¹	A ²	A ¹	-	A ¹	A ¹	-	A ¹	-	A ¹	R	R	R	-	R
HS Houston Acad International HS		EX	EX	E	E	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	E	E	-	E	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-
HS Houston, Sam Math/Sci/Tech Ctr		Rec	AA	R	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	A	-	A ²	-	A	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	-	-	-	-	-
HS International HS @ Sharpstown			EX	E	E	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	E	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-
HS Jones HS		AA	AU	A ¹	R	A ²	-	A ¹	-	-	-	-	-	R ²	R ²	E	-	E	U ¹	U ¹	U ¹	-	U ¹	A	A ²	A	-	A	U ¹	A ¹	U	-	A ¹
HS Jordan HS		Rec	Rec	E	E	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	A ²	A ²	A ¹	-	A ²	A ¹	R ²	A ¹	-	A ¹	R	R	R	-	R
HS Kashmere HS		AU	AU	R ²	R ²	A ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	U ²	U ²	A ²	-	U ¹	A	A	A	-	A	A ¹	A ¹	-	-	R
HS Lamar HS		Rec	Rec	E	E	E	E	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	E	E	R ²	R ²	A ¹	E	A ¹	R ²	R ²	E	R ²	R	R	R	R	E	
HS Law Enforcement HS		Rec	Rec	E	E	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	R ²	A ²	R ²	-	R ²	E	E	E	-	E	E	E	-	E	
HS Lee HS		AU	AU	R	R	A ¹	-	R	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	A	U ¹	A ²	-	A ²	A ¹	A	A ¹	-	A ¹	U	U	U	-	U
HS Madison HS		AA	AA	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	E	R ²	E	-	E	A	U ¹	A	-	A	A ²	A ²	A	-	A	A	A	U ¹	-	A
HS Milby HS		AA	Rec^	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	A	-	A	-	R
HS Ninth Grade Prep Academy		AA	Rec	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A ²	-	A ²	-	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HS North Houston Early College		EX	EX	E	E	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	E	E	-	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	-	-	-	-	-
HS Performing and Visual Arts HS		EX	EX	E	E	E	E	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	-	-	-	-	-
HS Reagan HS		AA	AA	E	R ²	E	E	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	A ²	U ²	A ²	R	A ²	A ¹	A ¹	A ²	-	A ²	R	-	R	-	R
HS Scarborough HS		AA	Rec	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	A ²	A ²	A ¹	-	A ²	A ¹	R ²	A ¹	-	A ¹	R	R	R	-	R
HS Sharpstown HS		AU	AU	R ²	R	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	A ²	A	A ²	-	A ²	A ¹	A ²	R	-	A ¹	U	U	U ¹	-	A ¹
HS South Early College HS			EX	E	-	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	R ²	R ²	-	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HS Sterling HS		AU	AA	R ²	R ²	R	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	R ²	-	E	A	A	A ²	-	A	A ²	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	R	R	R	-	R
HS Waltrip HS		Rec	Rec	E	E	E	E	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	E	E	A ¹	A ¹	A ²	R ²	A ²	R ²	R ²	R	E	R	R	R	-	R	
HS Washington HS		AA	AA	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	A ²	A	A ²	-	A	A ¹	A ¹	A ²	-	A ¹	R	R	R	-	R
HS Westbury HS		AU	AA	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	A ²	A ²	A ²	-	A	A ²	R	A ²	-	A ²	A ¹	A	A ¹	-	R
HS Westside HS		Rec	AA	E	E	E	E	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	E	E	R ²	A ¹	R ²	E	A ¹	R ²	R ²	R ²	E	R ²	R	A	R	R	R
HS Wheatley HS		AA	AA	A ²	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	A	A	U ²	-	A	A	A ²	U ²	-	A	R	R	A	-	R
HS Worthing HS		AU	AA	R ²	R ²	-	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	R ²	R ²	-	-	R ²	U ²	U ²	-	-	U ²	A	A	-	-	A	A	A	-	A ¹	
HS Yates HS		AA	AA	R ²	R ²	R ²	-	R ²	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	-	-	R ²	U ¹	U ¹	A ¹	-	U ¹	A ²	A ²	-	-	A ²	A	A	-	-	A ¹

Red=initially rated Unacceptable
 Orange=initially rated Acceptable
 Green=initially rated Recognized

Blue=Exemplary

X¹=used required improvement
 X²=used TPM
 X³=used exception

Appendix A
Preliminary TEA 2010 Accountability Ratings by School Office

^ received an appeal from TEA

School Office	Campus	Rating		Reading/ELA				Writing				Social Studies				Mathematics				Science				Annual Dropout/Comp Rate I				
		2009	2010	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.	Total	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Dis.
ALT CEP SE		NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALT CEP SW		NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALT Dominion MS		NR:IKE	AA	R ²	A ¹	-	-	A ¹	E	-	-	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	A ²	A ²	-	-	A ²	U ¹	-	-	-	-
ALT Energized ECC		NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALT Energized ES		Rec	Rec	R	E	A ²	-	R	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	R	R ²	R	-	R	R ²	-	R ²	-	R ²	
ALT Energized MS		EX	EX	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E	-	E	-	E	E	-	E	E	-	E	E	-	E	-	E
ALT E-STEM Academy		Rec	Rec	E	E	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	E	-	E	A ²	A ²	A ²	-	A ²	R ²	R ²	-	E	-	E
ALT E-STEM HS		EX	EX	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALT E-STEM MS		EX	EX	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALT Harper Alternative		NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALT HCC Life Skills		NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALT JJAEP		NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALT Kandy Stripe MS		AA	AA	R ²	R ²	-	-	A ¹	R ²	R ²	-	-	R ²	E	-	-	-	-	A	A	-	-	U ¹	A ²	A ²	-	-	A ²
ALT Mount Carmel HS		AA	AA	E	E	E	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	E	-	E	-	E	A ²	U ¹	A ²	-	A ²	A ¹	-	A ¹	-	A ¹
ALT North Dist Alt ES		NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALT South Dist Alt ES		NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALT TSU Charter ES		EX	EX	E	E	-	-	E	E	E	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	E	E	-	-	E	E	E	-	-	E	-
ALT WALIPP MS		AU	AA	R ²	R ²	-	-	R ²	E	-	-	-	-	E	-	-	-	A ²	A ²	-	-	A	A ¹	-	-	-	-	-
ALT Young Learners		NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALT Young Scholars		Rec	Rec	R ²	R ²	-	-	R ²	R ²	-	-	-	-	E	-	-	-	R	R	-	-	R	R ²	-	-	-	-	-

Red=initially rated Unacceptable
 Orange=initially rated Acceptable
 Green=initially rated Recognized

Blue=Exemplary

FINAL TEA 2010 ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS

HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

FINAL PERFORMANCE RATING
(2010 SPRING TAKS)

Exemplary 101, 36%	Alcott ES Benbrook ES Briar Meadow Browning ES Burrus ES Bush ES Cage ES Codwell ES Condit ES Cook ES Coop ES Cornelius ES Crawford ES Crockett ES DeChaumes ES	DeZavala ES Dodson ES Eliot ES Field ES Foster ES Gallegos ES Garden Villas ES Grissom ES Harris, J.R. ES Harris, R. P. ES Hartsfield ES Harvard ES Henderson, J.P. ES Henderson, N.Q. ES Horn ES	Houston Gardens Kashmere Gardens Kennedy ES Ketelsen ES Kolter ES Lantrip ES Lockhart ES Looscan ES Love ES Lovett ES Lyons ES Mading ES Martinez, R. ES McDade ES Milne ES	Moreno ES Oak Forest ES Oates ES Osborne ES Park Place ES Parker ES Patterson ES Pleasantville ES Port Houston ES Pugh ES Rhoads ES River Oaks ES Roberts ES Rogers, T. ES Ross ES	Sanchez ES Scott ES Scroggins ES Seguin ES Sherman ES Sinclair ES Southmayd ES St. George Place Stevens ES Sutton ES TSU Charter Lab Twain ES Wesley ES West University ES White ES	Whittier ES Woodson K-8 Briar Meadow MS Burbank MS Energized for Ex. Energized for STEM Johnston MS Kaleidoscope MS Pilgrim MS Pin Oak MS Project Chrysalis Rice School MS Rogers MS Stevenson MS	Carnegie Vanguard HS Challenge HS DeBaKey HSHP HS East Early College HS Eastwood Academy Empowerment Prep Energized for STEM HS HSPVA Houston Academy International HS @ North Houston Early South Early College
Recognized 107, 38%	Almeda ES Anderson ES Askew ES Atherton ES Barrick ES Bastian ES Bell ES Berry ES Bonham ES Bonner ES Braeburn ES Briargrove ES Briscoe ES Brookline ES Burbank ES	Burnet ES Carrillo ES Crespo ES Cunningham ES Daily ES Davila ES Dogan ES Durham ES Durkee ES Emerson ES Energized for Ex ES Foerster ES Fondren ES Franklin ES Garden Oaks ES	Golfcrest ES Gordon ES Gregg ES Helms ES Herod ES Herrera ES Highland Heights ES Hines-Caldwell ES Hobby ES Isaacs ES Janowski ES Law ES Longfellow ES MacGregor ES Martinez, C. ES McNamara ES	Mitchell ES Montgomery ES Neff ES Northline ES Paige ES Peck ES Piney Point ES Poe ES Red ES Reynolds ES Rice School ES Rodriguez ES Roosevelt ES Rucker ES Rusk ES	Scarborough ES Shearn ES Smith, K. ES Stevenson ES Sugar Grove ES Thompson ES Tijerina ES Travis ES Valley West ES Wharton K8 Whidby ES Windsor Village ES Young E. M. Young Scholars Black MS	Clifton MS Edison MS Fleming MS Fondren MS Fonville MS Grady MS Hamilton MS Hartman MS Hogg MS Holland MS Jackson MS Lanier MS Long MS McReynolds MS Pershing MS	Revere MS Sharpstown MS Welch MS West Briar MS Williams MS Austin HS Bellaire HS Energized For STEM Furr HS HSLECJ Jordan HS Lamar HS Milby HS Ninth Grade Prep Scarborough HS Waltrip HS
Academically Acceptable 49, 18%	Ashford ES Benavidez ES Blackshear ES Bruce ES Elrod ES Garcia ES Gregory-Lincoln ES Grimes ES	Gross ES Halpin Center Jefferson ES Lewis ES Memorial ES Petersen ES Robinson ES	Shadowbriar ES Smith, E.O. ES Tinsley ES Wainwright ES Walnut Bend ES Wilson ES Attucks MS Cullen MS	Deady MS Dominion Academy Dowling MS Gregory-Lincoln MS Henry MS Kandy Stripe MS Marshall MS	Ortiz MS Ryan MS Smith, E.O. MS Thomas MS WALIPP MS Woodson MS Chavez HS Davis HS	Houston M/S/T Madison HS Mount Carmel Reagan HS Sterling HS Washington HS Westbury HS	Westside HS Wheatley HS Worthing HS Yates HS
Academically Unacceptable 7, 3%	Frost ES	Kelso ES	Key MS	Jones HS	Kashmere HS*	Lee HS**	Sharpstown HS*
AEA AA, 16, 6%	Advantage East (AA) Carter Career (AA) CLC MS (AA)	CLC HS (AA) Comm. Serv. (AA) HSBE (AA)	Hope (AA) Inspired N. (AA) Inspired W. (AA)	Las Americas MS (AA) Leader's Aca. (AA) Liberty HS (AA)	New Aspirations (AA) Pro-Vision MS (AA)	Reach Charter (AA) Vision Academy (AA)	

AA = AEA:Academically Acceptable AU = AEA:Academically Unacceptable

*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years

** Academically Unacceptable for three consecutive years

October 29, 2010

PRELIMINARY TEA 2010 ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS

HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

**PRELIMINARY PERFORMANCE RATING
(2010 SPRING TAKS)**

Exemplary 101, 36%	Alcott ES Benbrook ES Briar Meadow Browning ES Burrus ES Bush ES Cage ES Codwell ES Condit ES Cook ES Coop ES Cornelius ES Crawford ES Crockett ES DeChaumes ES	DeZavala ES Dodson ES Eliot ES Field ES Foster ES Gallegos ES Garden Villas ES Grissom ES Harris, J.R. ES Harris, R. P. ES Hartsfield ES Harvard ES Henderson, J.P. ES Henderson, N.Q. ES Horn ES	Houston Gardens Kashmere Gardens Kennedy ES Ketelsen ES Kolter ES Lantrip ES Lockhart ES Looscan ES Love ES Lovett ES Lyons ES Mading ES Martinez, R. ES McDade ES Milne ES	Moreno ES Oak Forest ES Oates ES Osborne ES Park Place ES Parker ES Patterson ES Pleasantville ES Port Houston ES Pugh ES Rhoads ES River Oaks ES Roberts ES Rogers, T. ES Ross ES	Sanchez ES Scott ES Scroggins ES Seguin ES Sherman ES Sinclair ES Southmayd ES St. George Place Stevens ES Sutton ES TSU Charter Lab Twain ES Wesley ES West University ES White ES	Whittier ES Woodson K-8 Briar Meadow MS Burbank MS Energized for Ex. Energized for STEM Johnston MS Kaleidoscope MS Pilgrim MS Pin Oak MS Project Chrysalis Rice School MS Rogers MS Stevenson MS	Carnegie Vanguard HS Challenge HS DeBakey HSHP HS East Early College HS Eastwood Academy Empowerment Prep Energized for STEM HS HSPVA Houston Academy International HS @ North Houston Early South Early College
Recognized 105, 38%	Alameda ES Anderson ES Askew ES Atherton ES Barrick ES Bastian ES Bell ES Berry ES Bonham ES Bonner ES Braeburn ES Briar Grove ES Briscoe ES Brookline ES Burbank ES	Burnet ES Carrillo ES Crespo ES Cunningham ES Daily ES Davila ES Dogan ES Durham ES Durkee ES Emerson ES Energized for Ex ES Foerster ES Fondren ES Franklin ES Garden Oaks ES	Golfcrest ES Gordon ES Gregg ES Helms ES Herod ES Herrera ES Highland Heights ES Hines-Caldwell ES Hobby ES Isaacs ES Law ES Longfellow ES MacGregor ES Martinez, C. ES McNamara ES	Mitchell ES Montgomery ES Neff ES Northline ES Paige ES Peck ES Piney Point ES Poe ES Red ES Reynolds ES Rice School ES Rodriguez ES Roosevelt ES Rucker ES Rusk ES	Scarborough ES Shearn ES Smith, K. ES Stevenson ES Sugar Grove ES Thompson ES Tijerina ES Travis ES Valley West ES Wharton K8 Whidby ES Windsor Village ES Young E. M. Young Scholars Black MS	Clifton MS Edison MS Fleming MS Fondren MS Fonville MS Grady MS Hamilton MS Hartman MS Hogg MS Holland MS Jackson MS Lanier MS Long MS McReynolds MS Pershing MS	Revere MS Sharpstown MS Welch MS West Briar MS Williams MS Austin HS Bellaire HS Energized For STEM Furr HS HSLECJ Jordan HS Lamar HS Ninth Grade Prep Scarborough HS Waltrip HS
Academically Acceptable 51, 18%	Ashford ES Benavidez ES Blackshear ES Bruce ES Elrod ES Garcia ES Gregory-Lincoln ES Grimes ES	Gross ES Halpin Center Janowski ES Jefferson ES Lewis ES Memorial ES Petersen ES Robinson ES	Shadowbriar ES Smith, E.O. ES Tinsley ES Wainwright ES Walnut Bend ES Wilson ES Attucks MS Cullen MS	Deady MS Dominion Academy Dowling MS Gregory-Lincoln MS Henry MS Kandy Stripe MS Marshall MS	Ortiz MS Ryan MS Smith, E.O. MS Thomas MS WALIPP MS Woodson MS Chavez HS Davis HS	Houston M/S/T Madison HS Milby HS Mount Carmel Reagan HS Sterling HS Washington HS Westbury HS	Westside HS Wheatley HS Worthing HS Yates HS
Academically Unacceptable 7, 3%	Frost ES	Kelso ES	Key MS	Jones HS	Kashmere HS*	Lee HS**	Sharpstown HS*
AEA AA, 16, 6%	Advantage East (AA) Carter Career (AA) CLC MS (AA)	CLC HS (AA) Comm. Serv. (AA) HSBE (AA)	Hope (AA) Inspired N. (AA) Inspired W. (AA)	Las Americas MS (AA) Leader's Aca. (AA) Liberty HS (AA)	New Aspirations (AA) Pro-Vision MS (AA)	Reach Charter (AA) Vision Academy (AA)	

AA = AEA:Academically Acceptable AU = AEA:Academically Unacceptable

*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years

** Academically Unacceptable for three consecutive years

July 27, 2010

FINAL TEA 2009 ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS

HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

FINAL PERFORMANCE RATING
(2009 SPRING TAKS)

Exemplary 84, 30%	Alcott ES	De Chaumes ES	Hohl ES	Mading ES	Rhoads ES	Sugar Grove ES	Energized MS	
	Allen ES	De Zavala ES	Horn ES	Martinez, C. ES	The Rice School	Sutton ES	Pin Oak MS	
	Almeda ES	Dodson ES	Houston Gardens ES	Martinez, R. ES	River Oaks ES	TSU Lab ES	Project Chrysalis MS	
	Benbrook ES	Durham ES	Kelso ES	McDade ES	Roberts ES	Turner ES	Rogers, T.H. MS	
	Briar Meadow ES	Field ES	Kennedy ES	Moreno, ES	T. H. Rogers ES	Twain ES	Carnegie Vanguard HS	
	Browning ES	Fondren ES	Ketelsen ES	Neff ES	Roosevelt ES	Valley West ES	Challenge HS	
Burrus ES	Gallegos ES	Kolter ES	Oak Forest ES	Rusk ES	Wainwright ES	DeBaKey HSHP		
Bush ES	Golfcrest ES	Lantrip ES	Osborne ES	Scott ES	West University ES	East Early College HS		
Condit ES	Harris, R. P. ES	Lockhart ES	Parker ES	Scroggins ES	Wharton ES	Eastwood Academy HS		
Cornelius ES	Henderson, JP ES	Looscan ES	Port Houston ES	Seguin ES	White ES	Houston Ac. Intl. Stud.		
Crockett ES	Henderson, N.Q. ES	Lovett ES	Pugh ES	Sherman ES	Whittier ES	N. Houston EC		
Daily, Ray ES	Highland Heights ES	Lyons ES	Red ES	Sinclair ES	Briar Meadow MS	HSPVA		
Recognized 121, 44%	Anderson ES	Codwell ES	St. George Place	Law ES	Piney Point ES	Tinsley ES	West Briar MS	
	Ashford ES	Cook ES	Gordon ES	Lewis ES	Pleasantville ES	Travis ES	Austin HS	
	Atherton ES	Coop ES	Gregg ES	Longfellow ES	Poe ES	Wesley ES	Bellaire HS	
	Barrick ES	Crawford ES	Gregory-Lincoln ES	Love ES	Reynolds ES	Windsor Village ES	Davis HS	
	Bell ES	Crespo ES	Grimes ES	MacArthur ES	Rodriguez ES	Young ES	Empowerment H S	
	Bellfort Academy	Cunningham ES	Grissom ES	MacGregor ES	Ross ES	Young Scholars	Energized for E-STEM	
	Benavidez ES	Dogan ES	Halpin	McNamara ES	Rucker ES	Burbank MS	Furr HS	
	Berry ES	Durkee ES	Harris, J. R.	Memorial ES	Sanchez ES	Fonville MS	Houston Math, Sci, Tech	
	Blackshear ES	Eliot ES	Hartsfield ES	Milne ES	Sands Point ES	Hamilton MS	Jordan HS	
	Bonner ES	Emerson ES	Harvard ES	Mitchell ES	Scarborough ES	Johnston MS	Lamar HS	
	Briargrove ES	Energized ES	Helms	Montgomery ES	Shadowbriar ES	Kaleidoscope MS	HSLECJ	
	Briscoe ES	Foerster ES	Herod ES	Northline ES	Shearn ES	Key MS	Waltrip HS	
	Brookline ES	Foster ES	Herrera ES	Oates ES	Smith, K. ES	Lanier Charter MS	Westside HS	
	Bruce ES	Franklin ES	Hines-Caldwell ES	Paige ES	Southmayd ES	McReynolds MS		
	Burbank ES	Frost ES	Hobby ES	Park Place ES	Stevens ES	Pilgrim Academy		
	Burnet ES	Garcia ES	Isaacs ES	Patterson ES	Stevenson ES	Revere MS		
	Cage ES	Garden Oaks ES	Jones, J. W. ES	Peck ES	Thompson ES	Rice School MS		
	Carrillo ES	Garden Villas ES	Kashmere Gardens	Petersen ES	Tijerina ES	Stevenson MS		
	Academically Acceptable 47, 17%	Askew ES	Jefferson ES	Black MS	Hartman MS	Marshall MS	Woodson MS	Mt. Carmel HS
		Bonham ES	Judson Robinson ES	Cullen MS	Henry MS	Ortiz MS	Chavez HS	Reagan HS
Braeburn ES		Smith, E.O. ES	Deady MS	Hogg MS	Pershing MS	Houston, S. 9 th Gr.	Scarborough HS	
Davila ES		Walnut Bend ES	Edison MS	Holland MS	Sharpstown MS	Jones HS	Washington HS	
Elrod ES		Whidby ES	Fleming MS	Jackson MS	Thomas MS	Madison HS	Wheatley HS	
Gross ES		Wilson ES	Grady MS	Kandy Stripe	Welch MS	Milby HS	Yates HS	
Janowski ES		Woodson ES	Gregory-Lincoln MS	Long MS	Williams MS			
Academically Unacceptable 12, 4%	Attucks MS	Fondren MS*	Smith MS	Kashmere HS	Sharpstown HS	Westbury HS		
	Dowling MS	Ryan MS	Walipp MS	Lee HS*	Sterling HS	Worthing HS*		
AEA AA, 12, 4%	Advantage East (AA)	CLC MS (AA)	Comm. Serv. (AA)	Las Americas MS (AA)	Liberty HS (AA)	Pro-Vision MS (AA)		
	Carter Career (AA)	CLC HS (AA)	Inspired N. (AA)	Leader's Aca. (AA)	New Aspirations (AA)	Reach Charter (AA)		
Ike Provision Not Rated 4	Bastian ES	Clifton MS	Dominion Ac. MS	Inspired Ac. W.				

AA = Academically Acceptable AU = Academically Unacceptable

*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years

October 28, 2009

Final TEA 2008 ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS

HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

FINAL PERFORMANCE RATING
(2008 SPRING TAKS)

Exemplary 38, 14%	Allen ES Bush ES Condit ES Cornelius ES De Zavala ES Harvard ES	Henderson, JP Horn ES Juan N. Seguin ES Kennedy ES Kolter ES Lantrip ES	Lockhart ES Love ES Lovett ES Lyons ES Mading ES Memorial ES	Montgomery ES Oates ES River Oaks ES Sugar Grove ES T. H. Rogers ES The Rice School	TSU Lab ES Twain ES West University ES White ES	Briar Meadow MS Energized MS Pin Oak MS Project Chrysalis Rogers, T.H. MS	Carnegie Vanguard DeBaKey HSHP East Early College Eastwood Academy HSPVA
Recognized 119, 43%	Alcott ES Almeda ES Anderson Charter Askew ES Atherton ES Barrick ES Bastian ES Bell ES Benbrook ES Briar Grove ES Briar Meadow ES Briscoe ES Brookline ES Browning ES Burbank ES Burnet ES Burrus ES Cage ES	Carrillo ES Codwell ES Coop ES Crawford ES Crespo ES Crockett ES Daily, Ray Davila ES De Chaumes ES Durham ES Durkee ES Eliot ES Emerson ES Energized ES Field ES Foster ES Frost ES Gallegos ES	Garcia ES Garden Oaks Garden Villas Golfcrest ES Gordon ES Gregory-Lincoln ES Grissom ES Harris, J. R. Harris, R. P. Hartsfield ES Helms CLC Henderson, N.Q. Herod ES Herrera ES Highland Heights Hines-Caldwell Isaacs ES Jefferson ES	Jones, J. W. ES Kelso ES Ketelsen ES Law ES Lewis ES Longfellow ES Looscan ES MacArthur ES MacGregor ES Martinez, C. ES Martinez, R. ES McDade ES Milne ES Moreno, ES Neff ES Oak Forest ES Osborne ES Paige ES	Park Place ES Parker ES Patterson ES Peck ES Piney Point ES Port Houston ES Pugh ES Red ES Reynolds ES Roberts ES Roosevelt ES Ross ES Rucker ES Sanchez ES Sands Point ES Scarborough ES Scott ES Scroggins ES	Shearn ES Sherman ES Sinclair ES Smith, K. ES St. George Place St. John's ES Stevenson ES Sutton ES Thompson ES Travis ES Valley West ES Wainwright ES Wesley ES Wharton ES Whidby ES Windsor Village	Young Scholars Burbank MS Clifton MS Fonville MS Hamilton MS Kaleidoscope MS Lanier Charter MS Pilgrim Academy Rice School MS Challenge HS Empowerment H S HSLECJ Lamar HS
Academically Acceptable 96, 35%	Ashford ES Bellfort Academy Berry ES Blackshear ES Bonham ES Bonner ES Braeburn ES Bruce ES Cunningham ES Dodson ES Dogan ES Elrod ES Felix Cook Jr. ES Foerster ES	Franklin ES Gregg ES Grimes ES Gross ES Halpin ES Hobby ES Hohl ES Houston Gardens ES Janowski ES Judson Robinson ES Kashmere Gardens McNamara ES Mitchell ES Northline ES	Petersen ES Pleasantville ES Poe ES Rhoads ES Rodriguez ES Rusk ES Shadowbriar ES Southmayd ES Stevens ES Tijerina ES Tinsley ES Turner ES Walnut Bend ES Whittier ES	Wilson ES Woodson ES Young ES Attucks MS Black MS Cullen MS Daniel Ortiz Jr. MS Deady MS Dominion Academy Dowling MS Edison MS Fleming MS Grady MS Gregory-Lincoln MS	Hartman MS Henry MS Hogg MS Holland MS Jackson MS Johnston MS Kandy Stripe Key MS Marshall MS McReynolds MS Pershing MS Revere MS Ryan MS Sharpstown MS	Smith MS Stevenson MS Thomas MS Walipp MS Welch MS West Briar MS Williams MS Austin HS Bellaire HS Chavez HS Davis HS Furr HS Houston Ac. Intl. Jordan HS	Kashmere HS Madison HS Milby HS Reagan HS Scarborough HS Sharpstown HS Sterling HS Waltrip HS Washington HS Westbury HS Westside HS Yates HS
Academically Unacceptable 13, 4%	Benavidez ES Fondren ES Pleasant Hill ES*	Fondren MS Smith, E.O. ES**	Long MS Woodson MS	Comm. Serv. Houston HS***	Jones HS Lee HS	Wheatley HS Worthing HS	
AEA AA, 8, 3% AU, 2, 1%	ALTA HS (AA) CLC MS (AA)	CLC HS (AA) Carter Career (AA)	Las Americas MS (AA) Leader's Aca. (AU)	Liberty HS (AA) New Aspirations (AU)	Pro-Vision MS (AA) Reach Charter (AA)		

AA = Academically Acceptable AU = Academically Unacceptable

*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years.

** Academically Unacceptable for three consecutive years.

*** Academically Unacceptable for six consecutive years

October 9, 2008

FINAL TEA 2007 ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS

HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

**FINAL PERFORMANCE RATING
(2007 SPRING TAKS and SDAAs)**

Exemplary 15, 5%	Bush ES Condit ES Cornelius ES	Horn ES Kolter ES Lyons ES	Oak Forest ES River Oaks ES Roberts ES	Rogers, T.H. ES West University ES	Energized MS	Carnegie Vanguard DeBakey HS East Early College	
Recognized 69, 25%	Alcott ES Allen ES Askew ES Banneker/McNair Barrick ES Bell ES Blackshear ES Braeburn ES Briargrove ES Browning ES	Burbank ES Burnet ES Burrus ES Cage ES Carrillo ES Codwell ES Crawford ES De Zavala ES Energized ES Frost ES	Harvard ES Henderson, J.P. ES Herrera ES Kashmere Gardens Kennedy ES Lockhart ES Lovett ES Martinez, C., ES Martinez, R., ES Milne ES	Montgomery ES Moreno ES Oates ES Osborne ES Peck ES Pilgrim ES Piney Point ES Red ES Rice School ES Roosevelt ES	Ross ES Scott ES Scroggins ES Seguin ES Sherman ES Sugar Grove ES Sutton ES Travis ES TSU Charter ES Twain ES	Wainwright ES Wesley ES Wharton ES White ES Burbank MS Briarmeadow MS Clifton MS Hamilton MS Kaleidoscope MS Key MS	Lanier MS Pin Oak MS Project Chrysalis MS Rice MS Rogers, T.H. MS Stevenson MS Challenge HS Eastwood HS HSPVA
Academically Acceptable 169, 61%	Almeda ES Anderson ES Ashford ES Atherton ES Bastian ES Bellfort Acad. ES Benavidez ES Benbrook ES Berry ES Bonham ES Bonner ES Bowie ES Briarmeadow ES Briscoe ES Brookline ES Bruce ES Cook ES Coop ES Crespo ES Crockett ES Cunningham ES Davila ES DeChaumes ES Dodson ES Durham ES	Durkee ES Eliot ES Emerson ES Fairchild ES Field ES Foerster ES Fondren ES Foster ES Franklin ES Gallegos ES Garcia ES Garden Oaks ES Garden Villas ES Golfcrest ES Gordon ES Gregg ES Gregory-Lincoln ES Grimes ES Grissom ES Gross ES Halpin ECC Harris, J.R. ES Harris, R.P. ES Hartsfield ES Helms ES	Henderson, N. ES Herod ES Highland Heights ES Hines-Caldwell ES Hobby ES Hohl ES Houston Gardens Isaacs ES Janowski ES Jefferson ES Jones, J. W. ES Kandy Stripe Kelso ES Ketelsen ES Lantrip ES Law ES Lewis ES Longfellow ES Looscan ES Love ES MacArthur ES MacGregor ES Mading ES McDade ES Memorial ES	Mitchell ES Neff ES Northline ES Park Place ES Parker ES Patterson ES Pleasantville ES Poe ES Port Houston ES Pugh ES Reynolds ES Rhoads ES Robinson ES Rodriguez ES Rusk ES Sanchez ES Sands Point ES Scarborough ES School at Post Oak Shadowbriar ES Shearn ES Sinclair ES Smith, K. ES Southmayd ES Stevens ES	Stevenson ES Thompson ES Tijerina ES Tinsley ES Turner ES Valley West ES Walnut Bend ES Whidby ES Whittier ES Wilson ES Windsor Village ES Woodson ES Young ES Young Scholars ES Attucks MS Black MS Cullen MS Deady MS Dominion Charter Edison MS Fleming MS Fondren MS Fonville MS Grady MS Gregory-Lincoln MS	Hartman MS Henry MS Hogg MS Holland MS Jackson MS Johnston MS Long MS Marshall MS McReynolds MS Ortiz Jr. MS Pershing MS Revere MS Sharpstown MS Smith, E.O. MS Thomas MS WALIPP MS Welch MS West Briar MS Williams MS Woodson MS Austin HS Bellaire HS Chavez HS Davis HS Empowerment HS	HSLECJ International HS Jones HS Jordan HS Kashmere HS Lamar HS Lee HS Madison HS Milby HS Reagan HS Scarborough HS Sterling HS Waltrip HS Washington HS Westbury HS Westside HS Wheatley HS Worthing HS Yates HS
Academically Unacceptable 13 5%	Dogan ES Elrod ES	McNamara ES Petersen ES*	Pleasant Hill ES Rucker ES	Smith, E.O. ES* Dowling MS	Las Americas MS Ryan MS*	Furr HS Houston HS**	Sharpstown HS
AEA AA, 7, 3% AU, 2, 1%	ALTA HS (AA) CLC MS (AU)	CLC HS (AA) Comm. Serv. (AA)	Houston Night HS (AU) Pro-Vision MS (AA)	Carter Career (AA) Newcomer HS (AA)	Reach Charter (AA)		

AA = Academically Acceptable AU = Academically Unacceptable

*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years.

**Academically Unacceptable for five consecutive years.

October 10, 2007

Final TEA 2006 ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS

HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

**CURRENT PERFORMANCE RATING
(2006 SPRING TAKS, SDAA, 2004-05 Dropout/Completion)**

Exemplary 15, 5%	Bush ES Condit ES Cornelius ES	Kennedy ES Kolter ES Lyons ES	Pilgrim ES Pugh ES River Oaks ES	Roberts ES Rogers, T.H. ES Sugar Grove ES	West University ES Carnegie Vanguard DeBaKey HS		
Recognized 64, 23%	Askew ES Atherton ES Barrick ES Bell ES Briargrove ES Browning ES Burrus ES Cage ES Carrillo ES Crawford ES	Crockett ES De Zavala ES Durham ES Easter ES Grissom ES Harris, J.R. ES Harvard ES Helms ES Henderson, J.P. ES Herod ES	Herrera ES Horn ES Jones, A. ES Lantrip ES Lewis ES Lockhart ES Lovett ES MacGregor ES Mading ES McDade ES	Memorial ES Mitchell ES Montgomery ES Oates ES Parker ES Patterson ES Piney Point ES Red ES Rice School ES Roosevelt ES	Scott ES School at Post Oak Scroggins ES Smith, K. ES Stevenson ES Sutton ES Twain ES Valley West ES Walnut Bend ES Wharton ES	White ES Wilson ES Windsor Village ES Briar meadow MS Clifton MS Dominion Charter Energized MS Lanier MS Project Chrysalis Rice MS	Rogers, T.H. MS Stevenson MS Challenge Early College HSPVA
Academically Acceptable 159, 57%	Alcott ES Allen ES Almeda ES Anderson ES Ashford ES Banneker-McNair Bastian ES Bellfort Acad. ES Benavidez ES Berry ES Blackshear ES Bonham ES Bonner ES Bowie ES Braeburn ES Briar meadow ES Briscoe ES Brookline ES Burbank ES Burnet ES Chatham ES Codwell ES Concord ES	Coop ES Crespo ES Cunningham ES Davila ES DeChaumes ES Dodson ES Dogan ES Durkee ES Eliot ES Elrod ES Emerson ES Energized ES Fairchild ES Field ES Foerster ES Fondren ES Foster ES Franklin ES Frost ES Gallegos ES Garcia ES Garden Oaks ES Garden Villas ES	Golfcrest ES Gordon ES Gregg ES Gregory-Lincoln ES Grimes ES Gross ES Harris, R.P. ES Hartsfield ES Henderson, N. ES Highland Heights ES Hines-Caldwell ES Hobby ES Hohl ES Isaacs ES Janowski ES Jefferson ES Jones, J. W. ES Kandy Stripe Kashmere Gardens Kelso ES Ketelsen ES Law ES Longfellow ES	Looscan ES Martinez, C., ES Martinez, R., ES McNamara ES Milne ES Moreno ES Neff ES Northline ES Oak Forest ES Osborne ES Park Place ES Peck ES Pleasant Hill ES Pleasantville ES Poe ES Port Houston ES Reynolds ES Rhoads ES Robinson ES Rodriguez ES Rogers, W., ES Ross ES Rucker ES	Rusk ES Sanchez ES Sanderson ES Sands Point ES Scarborough ES Seguin ES Shadowbriar ES Sherman ES Sinclair ES Southmayd ES Stevens ES Thompson ES Tijerina ES Tinsley ES Travis ES TSU/HISD ES Turner ES Wainwright ES Wesley ES Whidby ES Whittier ES Woodson ES Young ES	Young Scholars ES Westbank MS Deady MS Dowling MS Edison MS Fleming MS Fondren MS Fonville MS Grady MS Gregory-Lincoln MS Hamilton MS Hartman MS Hogg MS Holland MS Jackson MS Johnston MS Kaleidoscope MS Long MS Ortiz Jr. MS Pershing MS Pin Oak MS Revere MS Smith, E.O. MS	Welch MS West Briar MS Williams MS Austin HS Bellaire HS Chavez HS Davis HS Eastwood HS Empowerment HS Furr HS HSLECJ Barbara Jordan HS Lamar HS Lee HS Madison HS Middle College HS Milby HS Sharpstown HS Waltrip HS Westside HS Worthing HS
Academically Unacceptable 32, 11%	Benbrook ES Bruce ES Houston Gardens Love ES MacArthur ES	Petersen ES Shearn ES Smith, E.O. ES Attucks MS* Black MS	Cullen MS Henry MS Key MS* Marshall MS McReynolds MS**	Ryan MS Sharpstown MS Thomas MS* WALIPP MS Woodson MS	Houston HS*** Jones HS* Kashmere HS*** Newcomer Charter Reach Charter	Reagan HS Scarborough HS Sterling HS Washington HS Westbury HS	Wheatley HS* Yates HS
AEA 9 AA, 3% 1 AU, 1%	ALTA HS (AA) Carter Career (AA)	CLC MS (AA) CLC HS (AA)	Comm. Serv. (AA) Houston Night HS (AA)	Kay On-going MS (AA) Kay On-going HS (AA)	Pro-Vision MS (AA) Drop Back In HS (AU)*		

AA = Academically Acceptable AU = Academically Unacceptable Schools with ratings changed after appeals are bolded.

Revised October 12, 2006

*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years.

**Academically Unacceptable for three consecutive years.

***Academically Unacceptable for four consecutive years.

TEA 2005 ACCOUNTABILITY RATING STANDARDS

HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

CURRENT PERFORMANCE RATING (2005 SPRING TAKS, SDAA, 2003-04 Dropout/Completion)	Exemplary	Lyons ES River Oaks ES	Rogers, T.H. ES West University ES	Rogers, T.H. MS DeBakey HS				
	Recognized	Askew ES Briargrove ES Browning ES Bush ES Cornelius ES	De Zavala ES Frost ES Henderson, J.P. ES Herrera ES	Horn ES Kolter ES Lockhart ES Neff ES	Oak Forest ES Osborne ES Pilgrim ES Piney Point ES	Roberts ES School at Post Oak Wesley ES White ES	Briar meadow MS Energized MS Lanier MS Project Chrysalis MS	Rice MS West Briar MS Carnegie Vanguard HSPVA
	Academically Acceptable	Alcott ES Allen ES Almeda ES Anderson ES Argyle ES Ashford ES Atherton ES Banneker-McNair Barrick ES Bastian ES Bell ES Bellfort Acad. ES Benbrook ES Berry ES Bonham ES Bonner ES Bowie ES Braeburn ES Briar meadow ES Briscoe ES Brookline ES Bruce ES Burbank ES Burnet ES Burrus ES Cage ES Carrillo ES Chatham ES Clinton Park ES	Codwell ES Concord ES Condit ES Coop ES Crawford ES Crespo ES Crockett ES Cunningham ES Davila ES Dodson ES Douglass ES Durham ES Durkee ES Easter ES Eliot ES Elrod ES Emerson ES Energized ES Field ES Foerster ES Fondren ES Franklin ES Gallegos ES Garcia ES Garden Oaks ES Garden Villas ES Golfcrest ES Gordon ES Gregg ES	Grissom ES Gross ES Harris, J. R., ES Harris, R. P., ES Hartsfield ES Harvard ES Helms ES Herod ES Highland Heights ES Hobby ES Hohl ES Houston Gardens ES Isaacs ES Janowski ES Jefferson ES Jones, A., ES Jones, J. W. ES Kandy Stripe ES Kashmere Gardens Kelso ES Kennedy ES Ketelsen ES Lantrip ES Law ES Lewis ES Love ES Lovett ES MacArthur ES MacGregor ES	Mading ES Martinez, C., ES Martinez, R., ES McDade ES McNamara ES Memorial ES Mitchell ES Montgomery ES Northline ES Oates ES Park Place ES Parker ES Patterson ES Peck ES Petersen ES Pleasant Hill ES Pleasantville ES Port Houston ES Pugh ES Red ES Reynolds ES Rice School ES Robinson ES Rogers, W., ES Roosevelt ES Ross ES Rucker ES Rusk ES Ryan ES	Sanchez ES Sands Point ES Scarborough ES Scott ES Scroggins ES Seguin ES Shadowbriar ES Shearn ES Sherman ES Sinclair ES Smith, E.O ES Smith, K., ES Southmayd ES Stevens ES Stevenson ES Sugar Grove ES Sutton ES Thompson ES Three D Academy ES Tijerina ES Tinsley ES Travis ES TSU/HISD ES Turner ES Twain ES Valley West ES Wainwright ES Walnut Bend ES Wharton ES	Whidby ES Whittier ES Wilson ES Windsor Village ES Young ES Young Scholars ES Black MS Burbank MS Clifton MS Cullen MS Deady MS Dominion Academy Edison MS Fleming MS Fonville MS Grady MS Gregory-Lincoln MS Hamilton MS Hartman MS Henry MS Hogg MS Holland MS Jackson MS Johnston MS Kaleidoscope MS Marshall MS Ortiz Jr. MS Pershing MS Pin Oak MS	Revere MS Ryan MS Sharpstown MS Smith, E.O MS Stevenson MS Welch MS WALIPP MS Woodson MS Austin HS Bellaire HS Challenge HS Chavez HS Davis HS Eastwood HS HSLECJ Jordan, Barbara HS Lamar HS Lee HS Madison HS Middle College HS Milby HS Reagan HS Scarborough HS Sharpstown HS Sterling HS Waltrip HS Washington HS Westbury HS Westside HS Yates HS
	Academically Unacceptable	Benavidez ES Blackshear ES De Chaumes ES* Dogon ES	Fairchild ES Foster ES Gregory-Lincoln ES* Grimes ES	Henderson, N. Q. ES Longfellow ES Looscan ES Milne ES	Poe ES Rhoads ES Rodriguez ES Sanderson ES	Woodson ES Attucks MS Dowling MS Fondren MS Key MS	Long MS McReynolds MS* Thomas MS Williams MS Furr HS	Houston HS** Jones HS Kashmere HS** Wheatley HS Worthing HS
	AEA	Comm. Serv. (AA) CLC HS (AA)	Carter Career (AA) Kay On-going MS (AA)	CLC MS (AA) Energized ALT HS (AA)	Pro-Vision MS (AA) Kay On-going HS (AA)	Houston Night HS (AU) Drop Back In HS (AU)	ALTA HS (AU)	

AA = Academically Acceptable AU = Academically Unacceptable

*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years.

**Academically Unacceptable for three consecutive years.

Revised October