

MEMORANDUM

November 1, 2011

TO: School Board Members

FROM: Terry B. Grier, Ed.D.
Superintendent of Schools

SUBJECT: **FINAL 2011 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM RATINGS**


CONTACT: Carla Stevens, 713-556-6700

The Texas Education Agency released their decisions with regards to appeals sent on behalf of two district campuses, and the final 2011 state accountability system ratings have been determined. Of the two appeals, both were accepted and granted. The following table indicates how these results change the district's summary results.

Table 1: 2008 to 2011 Final TEA Ratings of HISD Schools

Rating	2008	2009	2010	2011
Exemplary	38	84	101	59
Recognized	119	121	107	106
Academically Acceptable	96	47	49	81
Academically Unacceptable	13	12	7	19
AEA: Academically Acceptable	8	12	16	13
AEA: Academically Unacceptable	2	0	0	4
Not Rated Due to Ike Provision		4		
Total	276	280	280	282

Wilson Montessori School and Ryan Middle School were raised from *Academically Unacceptable* to *Academically Acceptable*. The complete 2011 TEA Accountability System Final Report, revised to reflect these changes, is attached for your reference.



TBG

Attachments

- c: Superintendent's Direct Reports
- Chief School Officers
- School Improvement Officers
- Principals



RESEARCH

Educational Program Report

Texas Education Agency
Accountability System
Final Report
October 2011



2011 Board of Education

Paula M. Harris
PRESIDENT

Manuel Rodríguez Jr
FIRST VICE PRESIDENT

Anne Eastman
SECOND VICE PRESIDENT

Carol Mims Galloway
SECRETARY

Michael L. Lunceford
ASSISTANT SECRETARY

Lawrence Marshall
Greg Meyers
Harvin C. Moore
Juliet K. Stipeche

Terry B. Grier, Ed.D.
SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Carla Stevens
ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT
DEPARTMENT OF RESEARCH AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Susan L. Walden, Ph.D.
Chih-Hsun Huang, Ed.D.
RESEARCH SPECIALISTS

Michael M. Thomas
RESEARCH MANAGER

Houston Independent School District

Hattie Mae White Educational Support Center
4400 West 18th Street
Houston, Texas 77092-8501

Website: www.houstonisd.org

It is the policy of the Houston Independent School District not to discriminate on the basis of age, color, handicap or disability, ancestry, national origin, marital status, race, religion, sex, veteran status, or political affiliation in its educational or employment programs and activities.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY (TEA) ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS
2010–2011 SCHOOL YEAR
October 2011 - FINAL

Introduction

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) Accountability System is a method of evaluating school districts and schools with regard to their performance on certain student indicators, and of assigning an accountability rating based on that evaluation. The TEA Accountability System is based on an improvement model in which districts and campuses must meet either an absolute standard or an improvement standard for each accountability measure. The four possible standard classifications for districts and individual schools are Exemplary, Recognized, Academically Acceptable, or Academically Unacceptable.

The student performance indicators used to determine if absolute standards are met consist of current year spring passing rates for Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS), including TAKS-Accommodated, Modified, and Alternate, Commended rates, English Language Learner (ELL) Progress measure, and dropout or completion rates from the previous year. These indicators provide 40 possible performance variables that are employed to assess each campus and the district as a whole. The performance of all students and of the student groups of African American, Hispanic, White, and Economically Disadvantaged are employed in the process of assigning accountability ratings. These ratings are based on the lowest performance of any of the 40 possible measures. For schools and districts that exhibit Recognized, Academically Acceptable, and Academically Unacceptable performance on any of the yearly standards, it is possible to achieve the next higher level of accountability by meeting the required improvement component of the system. The TEA Accountability System Research Brief, available from the Houston Independent School District Department of Research and Accountability, describes the accountability model for the 2010–2011 school year.

From 1993 to 2002, the state’s accountability system rated schools based on the results from the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) and the annual dropout rate for grades 7–12. In 2004 with the change in the state criterion-referenced test to the TAKS, the TEA accountability system was significantly redesigned. **Table 1** presents the key facets of the TEA Accountability System for 2011. For comparison of the previous model to the redesigned model in 2004, see the TEA Accountability Ratings 2003–04 School Year Final Report (HISD, 2004).

Table 1: Key Facets of the TEA Accountability System: 2011	
Component	2011
Standard Rating Labels	Exemplary Recognized Academically Acceptable Academically Unacceptable
Evaluation of Assessment Subjects	All TAKS subjects tested (Reading/English Language Arts, Mathematics, Writing, Social Studies, and Science) for all students and each student group
Base Indicators for Determining Rating	TAKS percent Met Standard Completion Rate (for grades 9-12 Completers based on graduates and continuing students as a percent of the total class starting with the ninth-grade cohort four years earlier) Annual Dropout Rate (grades 7-8 only)
Accountability Subset of Students	All students tested on the campus who were enrolled in the <u>campus</u> as of the PEIMS fall enrollment date
Student Groups	All Students, African American, Hispanic, White, and Economically Disadvantaged
Standards for Base Indicators (Assessment Standards for all TAKS versions)	Exemplary (All Subjects ≥ 90) Recognized (TAKS $< 90\%$ and $\geq 80\%$) Academically Acceptable ($< 80\%$ and $\geq 70\%$ R/ELA,W, SS; $\geq 65\%$ Math, and \geq

	60% Science) Academically Unacceptable (< 70% R/ELA, W, SS, < 65% Math, and < 60% Science)
Commended Rate	For all students and the economically disadvantaged student group (≥25% Exemplary, ≥ 15% Recognized)
ELL Progress Measure	ELL in 2 nd year in US schools (≥60%)
Standards for Base Indicators (Dropout/Completion Rate)	Exemplary (Dropout Rate ≤1.6% or Completion Rate ≥95%) Recognized (Dropout Rate ≤1.6% or Completion Rate <95% and ≥85%) Academically Acceptable (Dropout Rate ≤1.6% or Completion Rate <85% and ≥75%) Academically Unacceptable (Dropout rate >1.6% or Completion Rate <75%)
Number of Performance Measures Used	The larger and more diverse the campus or district, the more measures apply - up to 40
Improvement Feature	Higher rating possible for Recognized, Academically Acceptable and Academically Unacceptable schools by meeting Required Improvement standards
Exceptions	Higher rating possible by using exceptions. The number of possible exceptions is based on the number of TAKS assessment measures.

Modifications made from the 2010 accountability system to 2011 include the Academically Acceptable passing rates for math increased from 60% to 65%; and the passing rate for science increased from 55% to 60%. The standard for the grade 7–8 Annual Dropout Rate increased in rigor by decreasing from 1.8% to 1.6%. The English Language Learner Indicator evaluates the progress of ELL students in attaining proficiency in reading/ELA in English based on their performance on either Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) reading/ELA in grades 3-11 or the reading component of Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) in grades 3-11. The indicator includes current and monitored limited English proficient (LEP) students who are enrolled in at least their second year in U.S. schools. Campuses and districts must meet a standard of 60% on the ELL Progress Indicator in order to attain a *Recognized* or *Exemplary* rating or meet required improvement. A Commended Performance Indicator (CP) was also added for 2011. Districts and campuses will be required to meet a CP standard in order to achieve the Recognized or Exemplary ratings. The All students and Economically Disadvantaged student groups will be evaluated in reading and mathematics. Additionally, results from special education students tested on the TAKS-Modified and TAKS-Alternate were incorporated into the accountability calculations for the first time. Finally, 2011, use of the Texas Projection Measure (TPM) in the state and federal accountability systems will be discontinued.

Findings

The results from the TEA 2011 Accountability Rating System analysis of the Houston Independent School District’s (HISD) indicators are presented. Comparison tables provide a summary of the TEA ratings for HISD schools for 17 years, 1993 through 2002 and 2004 through 2010. The 2011 TEA ratings of HISD schools are also presented by school office, and provided is a table of schools that were rated Academically Unacceptable and the reasons for that rating.

This report supersedes the 2010–2011 TEA Accountability Ratings Report produced in July 2011. This revision reflects the results of appeals submitted to the state regarding the ratings of two schools. Specifically, two appeals were submitted to TEA for the schools listed in **Table 2**, and both appeals were granted by TEA.

Table 2: 2011 TEA Accountability Rating Appeals

School	Initial Rating (appealed)	Rating After Appeal
Wilson Montessori School	Academically Unacceptable	Academically Acceptable
Ryan Middle School	Academically Unacceptable	Academically Acceptable

Tables detailing school-level results are provided. These tables are organized by school office and provide both the 2010 and the 2011 TEA ratings together with “2010 Updated ratings” calculated using 2010 data in the 2011 accountability model. A listing of schools not rated for the 2010–2011 school year and five prior years’ matrices are included in this report.

District-Level Rating

TEA rated HISD as Academically Acceptable for 2011 because a district with any unacceptable campuses cannot achieve a rating higher than acceptable. **Tables 2** and **3** show the count of district schools by rating categories. As the accountability system was significantly redesigned in 2004, the ratings are shown separately for the two systems.

Table 2: 1993 to 2002 TEA Ratings of HISD Schools (TAAS and Dropout)

Rating	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Exemplary	0	4	7	15	25	36	12	11	35	63
Recognized	4	8	26	60	50	62	23	76	89	106
Acceptable	186	220	174	162	169	158	218	166	136	81
Low-performing	55	6	35	9	10	8	18	17	2	21
Total	195	238	242	246	254	264	271	270	262	271

Table 3: 2004–2011 TEA Ratings of HISD Schools (TAKS, Dropout/Completion)

Rating	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Exemplary	9	6	15	15	38	84	101	59
Recognized	69	29	64	69	119	121	107	106
Academically Acceptable	185	204	159	169	96	47	49	81
Academically Unacceptable	14	31	32	13	13	12	7	19
AEA: Academically Acceptable		8	9	7	8	12	16	13
AEA: Academically Unacceptable		3	1	2	2	0	0	4
Not Rated due to Ike Provision						4		
Total	277	281	280	275	276	280	280	282

- From 2010 to 2011, the state raised the acceptable standards for math and science on the accountability system and discontinued the use of the Texas Projection Measure along with other model changes described on page two. The number of Exemplary schools decreased from 101 to 59. The number of Recognized schools decreased from 107 to 106, while the number of Academically Unacceptable schools increased from 7 to 23.

HISD Academic Levels by School Office

Table 4 presents the 2011 count of campus ratings by school office.

Table 4: 2011 TEA Accountability Ratings by School Office

Level	Number of Schools	Exemplary	Recognized	Academically Acceptable	Academically Unacceptable	AEA Acceptable	AEA Unacceptable	Not Rated
Elementary	180	46	88	35	5	0	0	6
Middle	44	2	13	22	4	1	1	1
High	75	11	5	24	10	12	3	10
Total	299	59	106	81	19	13	4	17

Exemplary Schools

- As a result of the application of the TEA Standard Accountability System, 59 schools, including 46 from the Elementary School Office, two from the Middle School Office, and 11 from the High School Office were rated Exemplary for the 2010–2011 school year (see **Tables 4** and **5**).

Table 5: HISD Schools Receiving a TEA Accountability Rating of Exemplary, 2007–2011 by Academic Level

Year	Elementary				Middle	High
2007	Bush	Horn	Oak Forest	T.H. Rogers	Energized	DeBakey Carnegie East Early
	Condit	Kolter	River Oaks	West Univ.		
	Cornelius	Lyons	Roberts			
2008	Allen	Horn	Lyons	Sugar Grove	Briar Meadow	Carnegie
	Bush	Kennedy	Mading	T.H.Rogers	Energized	DeBakey
	Condit	Kolter	Memorial	Rice School	Pin Oak	East Early
	Cornelius	Lantrip	Montgomery	TSU Lab	Project Chrysalis	Eastwood
	De Zavala	Lockhart	Oates	Twain	T.H.Rogers	HSPVA
	Harvard	Love	River Oaks	West Univ.		
	Henderson, JP	Lovett	Seguin	White		
2009	Alcott	Gallegos	Mading	Rusk	Briar Meadow	Carnegie
	Allen	Golfcrest	Martinez, C.	Scott	Energized	Challenge
	Almeda	Harris, R. P.	Martinez, R.	Scroggins	Pin Oak	DeBakey
	Benbrook	Henderson, J	McDade	Seguin	Project Chrysalis	East Early
	Briar Meadow	Henderson, N	Moreno,	Sherman	T.H.Rogers	Eastwood
	Browning	Highland Hts.	Neff	Sinclair		International
	Burrus	Hohl	Oak Forest	Sugar Grove		N. Hou. EC
	Bush	Horn	Osborne	Sutton		HSPVA
	Condit	Houston Gar.	Parker	TSU Lab		
	Cornelius	Kelso	Pt Houston	Turner		
	Crockett	Kennedy	Pugh	Twain		
	Daily, Ray	Ketelsen	Red	Valley West		
	De Chaumes	Kolter	Rhoads	Wainwright		
	De Zavala	Lantrip	Rice School	West Univ.		
	Dodson	Lockhart	River Oaks	Wharton		
	Durham	Looscan	Roberts	White		
	Field	Lovett	T. H. Rogers	Whittier		
Fondren	Lyons	Roosevelt				
2010	Alcott	Gallegos	Lyons	Sanchez	Woodson K-8	Carnegie
	Benbrook	Garden Villas	Mading	Scott	Briar Meadow	Challenge
	Briar Meadow	Grissom	Martinez, R.	Scroggins	Burbank	DeBakey
	Browning	Harris, J.R.	McDade	Seguin	Energized for Ex.	East EC
	Burrus	Harris, R. P.	Milne	Sherman	Energ. STEM	Eastwood
	Bush	Hartsfield	Moreno	Sinclair	Johnston	Empowerment
	Cage	Harvard	Oak Forest	Southmayd	Kaleidoscope	Energ STEM
	Codwell	Henderson, J.P.	Oates	St. George	Pilgrim	HSPVA
	Condit	Henderson, N.	Osborne	Stevens	Pin Oak	Hou. Ac. Int'l
	Cook	Horn	Park Place	Sutton	Project Chrysalis	Int'l @ Sharps
	Coop	Hou. Gardens	Parker	TSU Charter	Rice School	North Hou.EC
	Cornelius	Kashmere Gard.	Patterson	Twain	Rogers, T.H.	South EC
	Crawford	Kennedy	Pleasantville	Wesley	Stevenson	
	Crockett	Ketelsen	Port Houston	West Univ.		
	DeChaumes	Kolter	Pugh	White		
	DeZavala	Lantrip	Rhoads	Whittier		
	Dodson	Lockhart	River Oaks			
Eliot	Looscan	Roberts				
Field	Love	Rogers, T.H.				
Foster	Lovett	Ross				
2011	Briar Meadow MS	Harris, R. P.	Lyons	St. George	Kaleidoscope	Carnegie
	Briscoe	Hartsfield	Mading	Scott	Pin Oak	Challenge
	Browning	Henderson, J.P.	Oak Forest	Seguin		DeBakey
	Burrus	Highland Hts.	Oates	Southmayd		East EC
	Bush	Hines-Caldwell	Osborne	Stevenson		Eastwood
	Cage	Hobby	Park Place	Sutton		Ener STEM C
	Codwell	Horn	Port Houston	Twain		Energ for Ex MS
	Condit	Hou. Gardens	Proj Chrys. MS	Valley West		HSPVA
	Cook	Kennedy	River Oaks	West Univ.		Hou. Ac. Int'l
	Cornelius	Kolter	Roberts	White		North Hou.EC
	Crockett	Lovett	Ross	Windsor Vill.		South EC
DeZavala		Rusk				

Academically Unacceptable Schools

- As a result of the application of the TEA Accountability System, 23 schools were rated as Academically Unacceptable for 2011 (19 under standard accountability and 4 under alternative accountability) (see **Table 6**).

Table 6: HISD Schools Rated as Academically Unacceptable by the 2011 TEA Accountability System by Reason

School	Rating		TAKS					Completion	Dropout
	2010	2011	Reading	Math	Writing	Social Studies	Science		
	Standard Accountability System								
Blackshear ES	A	U	TAE	H			A		
Bonham ES	A	U	A	A	A		H		
Frost ES**	U	U	TAHE	HE			TAE		
Garcia ES	A	U	A						
Gregory-Lincoln ES	A	U	H	THE					
Attucks MS	A	U					THE		A
Smith, E.O. ES	A	U	TAE	TAE	T				
Smith, E.O. MS	A	U	TAE	TAHE			TAE		
Woodson MS	A	U		TAHE					
Alternative Accountability System									
Dominion	A	U		TAE			T		
Harper Alt.	NR	U		TE	T		T		
Jones HS**	U	U		E			TAE	TH	
Kandy Stripe	A	U					TAE		
Kashmere HS***	U	U	H	TAHE			TAHE		
Texas Connections	NR	U		AE	E		A		TAHW
Washington HS	A	U		TAE					
Wheatley HS	A	U		TAHE			THE		
Worthing HS	A	U		TAE			TAE		
Yates HS	A	U		TAHE					
CLC MS	A	U			A				
HS Ahead		U			TAHE (also missed ELL measure)				
Pro-Vision	A	U			TAHE				
Vision Academy	A	U			TAE				

* Legend for Accountability Student Groups

T = All Students (Total)

H = Hispanic

E = Economically Disadvantaged

A = African American

W = White

Legend for TEA Accountability Ratings

E= Exemplary

A= Academically Acceptable

R= Recognized

U= Academically Unacceptable

**Academically Unacceptable for 2 consecutive years

***Academically Unacceptable for 3 consecutive years

- Eight schools were rated Academically Unacceptable because of student group performance on the reading TAKS; 16 schools, due to student group performance on the math TAKS; 13, due to student group performance on the science TAKS, and four schools were rated Academically Unacceptable because of student group performance on the writing TAKS. Three schools were rated Academically Unacceptable due to the completion or dropout rate indicator.

- Jones High School and Frost Elementary School were rated as Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years, and Kashmere High School was rated Academically Unacceptable for three consecutive years.

**2011 TEA Accountability Ratings
Schools Not Rated**

Early Childhood, Special Education Centers, Other Not Rated

Belfort Academy
Energized for Excellence ECC
Farias ECC
Halpin
King ECC
Laurenzo ECC
Mistral ECC
SOAR Center
Young Learners
HCC Life Skills
Empowerment College Prep

**Disciplinary Alternative Education Programs (DAEP) Not
Rated—Data attributed to home campus**

CEP SE
CEP SW
JJAEP
North Alternative E.S.
North District Alternative M.S.
South Alternative E.S.

FINAL TEA 2011 ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS

HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

FINAL PERFORMANCE RATING
(2011 SPRING TAKS)

Exemplary 59, 21%	Briscoe Browning Burrus Bush Cage Codwell Condit Cook	Cornelius Crockett De Zavala Harris, R. P. Hartsfield Henderson, J. P. Highland Heights Hines-Caldwell Hobby	Horn Houston Gardens Kennedy Kolter Lovett Lyons Mading Oak Forest Oates	Osborne Park Place Port Houston River Oaks Roberts Ross Rusk Saint George Place	Scott Seguin Southmayd Stevenson Sutton Twain Valley West West University White	Windsor Village Briar Meadow MS En. E-STEM C MS Kaleidoscope MS Pin Oak MS Project Chrysalis Carnegie Vanguard Challenge HS DeBaKey HS	East Early College Eastwood Academy Energized for Ex. MS HS PVA Houston Academy for Int'l North Hou. Early College South Early College
Recognized 106, 38%	Almeda Anderson Ashford Askew Barrick Bastian Bell Benbrook Berry Bonner Briargrove Briar Meadow ES Brookline Bruce Burnet	Carrillo Coop Crawford Crespo Cunningham Daily DeChaumes Dogan Durham Durkee Eliot Elrod Emerson Field Foerster	Foster Gallegos Garden Villas Golfcrest Gregg Harris, J. R. Harvard Henderson, N. Q. Herod Herrera Janowski Jefferson Ketelsen Lantrip Law	Lockhart Looscan MacGregor Martinez, C. Martinez, R. Memorial Montgomery Moreno Northline Parker Patterson Petersen Pilgrim Academy Piney Point Pleasantville	Poe Pugh Rhoads Rice ES Rodriguez T.H. Rogers ES TSU Charter Roosevelt Rucker Sanchez Scarborough Scroggins Shadowbriar Shearn Sherman	Sinclair Smith, K. Stevens Thompson Tijerina Travis Walnut Bend Wesley Wharton Whidby Whittier Young Burbank MS Clifton MS Deady MS Edison MS	Energ. For E-STEM W MS Grady MS Hamilton MS Jackson MS Johnston MS Lanier MS Pershing MS Revere MS Rice MS T.H. Rogers MS Stevenson MS Williams MS E-STEM West HS HSLECJ Lamar HS
Academically Acceptable 81, 29%	Alcott Atherton Benavidez Braeburn Burbank ES Davila Dodson Ener. for Excell ES Fondren Franklin Garden Oaks Gordon	Grimes Grissom Gross Helms Isaacs Kashmere Gardens Kelso Lewis Longfellow Love McDade	McNamara Milne Mitchell Neff Paige Peck Red Reynolds Robinson Sugar Grove Tinsley Wainwright	Wilson ES Woodson ES Black MS Cullen MS Dowling MS Fleming MS Fondren MS Fonville MS Gregory-Lincoln MS Hartman MS Henry MS Hogg MS	Holland MS Key MS Long MS Marshall MS McReynolds MS Ortiz MS Ryan MS Sharpstown MS Thomas MS WALIPP MS Welch MS West Briar MS	Young Scholars Austin HS Bellaire HS Chavez HS Davis HS E-STEM Central HS Furr HS Houston M/S/T Jordan HS Lee HS Madison HS Milby HS	Mount Carmel Academy Ninth Grade Prep. Acad. Reagan HS Scarborough HS Sharpstown HS Sharpstown International Sterling HS Waltrip HS Westbury HS Westside HS
Academically Unacceptable 19, 7%	Blackshear Bonham Frost*	Garcia Gregory-Lincoln ES Smith, E. O. ES	Attucks MS Dominion	Kandy Stripe Smith, E. O. MS	Woodson MS Harper Jones HS*	Kashmere HS** Texas Connections Washington HS	Wheatley HS Worthing HS Yates HS
AEA AA, 13, 5% AU, 4, 1%	Advanced Virtual(AA) Carter Career (AA) CLC MS (AU)	CLC HS (AA) Comm. Serv. (AA) HS Ahead (AU)	HSBES (AA) Hope (AA) Inspired N. (AA)	Inspired W. (AA) Las Americas MS (AA) Leader's Aca. (AA)	Liberty HS (AA) New Aspirations (AA) Pro-Vision MS (AU)	Reach Charter (AA) Vision Academy (AU)	

AA = AEA:Academically Acceptable AU = AEA:Academically Unacceptable

*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years

** Academically Unacceptable for three consecutive years

October 28, 2011

Updated AEA data

PRELIMINARY TEA 2011 ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS

HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

**PRELIMINARY PERFORMANCE RATING
(2011 SPRING TAKS)**

Exemplary 59, 21%	Briscoe Browning Burrus Bush Cage Codwell Condit Cook	Cornelius Crockett De Zavala Harris, R. P. Hartsfield Henderson, J. P. Highland Heights Hines-Caldwell Hobby	Horn Houston Gardens Kennedy Kolter Lovett Lyons Mading Oak Forest Oates	Osborne Park Place Port Houston River Oaks Roberts Ross Rusk Saint George Place	Scott Seguin Southmayd Stevenson Sutton Twain Valley West West University White	Windsor Village Briar Meadow MS En. E-STEM C MS Kaleidoscope MS Pin Oak MS Project Chrysalis Carnegie Vanguard Challenge HS DeBaKey HS	East Early College Eastwood Academy Energized for Ex. MS HS PVA Houston Academy for Int'l North Hou. Early College South Early College
Recognized 106, 38%	Almeda Anderson Ashford Askew Barrick Bastian Bell Benbrook Berry Bonner Briar Grove Briar Meadow ES Brookline Bruce Burnet	Carrillo Coop Crawford Crespo Cunningham Daily DeChaumes Dogan Durham Durkee Eliot Elrod Emerson Field Foerster	Foster Gallegos Garden Villas Golfcrest Gregg Harris, J. R. Harvard Henderson, N. Q. Herod Herrera Janowski Jefferson Ketelsen Lantrip Law	Lockhart Looscan MacGregor Martinez, C. Martinez, R. Memorial Montgomery Moreno Northline Parker Patterson Peterson Pilgrim Academy Piney Point Pleasantville	Poe Pugh Rhoads Rice ES Rodriguez T.H. Rogers ES TSU Charter Roosevelt Rucker Sanchez Scarborough Scroggins Shadowbriar Shearn Sherman	Sinclair Smith, K. Stevens Thompson Tijerina Travis Walnut Bend Wesley Wharton Whidby Whittier Young Burbank MS Clifton MS Deady MS Edison MS	Energ. For E-STEM W MS Grady MS Hamilton MS Jackson MS Johnston MS Lanier MS Pershing MS Revere MS Rice MS T.H. Rogers MS Stevenson MS Williams MS E-STEM West HS HSLECJ Lamar HS
Academically Acceptable 79, 28%	Alcott Atherton Benavidez Braeburn Burbank ES Davila Dodson Ener. for Excell ES Fondren Franklin Garden Oaks Gordon	Grimes Grissom Gross Helms Isaacs Kashmere Gardens Kelso Lewis Longfellow Love McDade	McNamara Milne Mitchell Neff Paige Peck Red Reynolds Robinson Sugar Grove Tinsley Wainwright	Woodson ES Black MS Cullen MS Dowling MS Fleming MS Fondren MS Fonville MS Gregory-Lincoln MS Hartman MS Henry MS Hogg MS	Holland MS Key MS Long MS Marshall MS McReynolds MS Ortiz MS Sharpstown MS Thomas MS WALIPP MS Welch MS West Briar MS	Young Scholars Austin HS Bellaire HS Chavez HS Davis HS E-STEM Central HS Furr HS Houston M/S/T Jordan HS Lee HS Madison HS Milby HS	Mount Carmel Academy Ninth Grade Prep. Acad. Reagan HS Scarborough HS Sharpstown HS Sharpstown International Sterling HS Waltrip HS Westbury HS Westside HS
Academically Unacceptable 21, 7%	Blackshear Bonham Frost*	Garcia Gregory-Lincoln ES Smith, E. O. ES	Wilson Attucks MS Dominion	Kandy Stripe Ryan MS Smith, E. O. MS	Woodson MS Harper Jones HS*	Kashmere HS** Texas Connections Washington HS	Wheatley HS Worthing HS Yates HS
AEA AA, 13, 5% AU, 4, 1%	Advanced Virtual(AA) Carter Career (AA) CLC MS (AU)	CLC HS (AA) Comm. Serv. (AA) HS Ahead (AU)	HSBES (AA) Hope (AA) Inspired N. (AA)	Inspired W. (AA) Las Americas MS (AA) Leader's Aca. (AA)	Liberty HS (AA) New Aspirations (AA) Pro-Vision MS (AU)	Reach Charter (AA) Vision Academy (AU)	

AA = AEA:Academically Acceptable AU = AEA:Academically Unacceptable

*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years

** Academically Unacceptable for three consecutive years

July 29, 2011

Updated AEA data

FINAL TEA 2010 ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS

HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

FINAL PERFORMANCE RATING
(2010 SPRING TAKS)

Exemplary 101, 36%	Alcott ES Benbrook ES Briar Meadow Browning ES Burrus ES Bush ES Cage ES Codwell ES Condit ES Cook ES Coop ES Cornelius ES Crawford ES Crockett ES DeChaumes ES	DeZavala ES Dodson ES Eliot ES Field ES Foster ES Gallegos ES Garden Villas ES Grissom ES Harris, J.R. ES Harris, R. P. ES Hartsfield ES Harvard ES Henderson, J.P. ES Henderson, N.Q. ES Horn ES	Houston Gardens Kashmere Gardens Kennedy ES Ketelsen ES Kolter ES Lantrip ES Lockhart ES Looscan ES Love ES Lovett ES Lyons ES Mading ES Martinez, R. ES McDade ES Milne ES	Moreno ES Oak Forest ES Oates ES Osborne ES Park Place ES Parker ES Patterson ES Pleasantville ES Port Houston ES Pugh ES Rhoads ES River Oaks ES Roberts ES Rogers, T. ES Ross ES	Sanchez ES Scott ES Scroggins ES Seguin ES Sherman ES Sinclair ES Southmayd ES St. George Place Stevens ES Sutton ES TSU Charter Lab Twain ES Wesley ES West University ES White ES	Whittier ES Woodson K-8 Briar Meadow MS Burbank MS Energized for Ex. Energized for STEM Johnston MS Kaleidoscope MS Pilgrim MS Pin Oak MS Project Chrysalis Rice School MS Rogers MS Stevenson MS	Carnegie Vanguard HS Challenge HS DeBaKey HSHP HS East Early College HS Eastwood Academy Empowerment Prep Energized for STEM HS HSPVA Houston Academy International HS @ North Houston Early South Early College
Recognized 107, 38%	Almeda ES Anderson ES Askew ES Atherton ES Barrick ES Bastian ES Bell ES Berry ES Bonham ES Bonner ES Braeburn ES Briargrove ES Briscoe ES Brookline ES Burbank ES	Burnet ES Carrillo ES Crespo ES Cunningham ES Daily ES Davila ES Dogan ES Durham ES Durkee ES Emerson ES Energized for Ex ES Foerster ES Fondren ES Franklin ES Garden Oaks ES	Golfcrest ES Gordon ES Gregg ES Helms ES Herod ES Herrera ES Highland Heights ES Hines-Caldwell ES Hobby ES Isaacs ES Janowski ES Law ES Longfellow ES MacGregor ES Martinez, C. ES McNamara ES	Mitchell ES Montgomery ES Neff ES Northline ES Paige ES Peck ES Piney Point ES Poe ES Red ES Reynolds ES Rice School ES Rodriguez ES Roosevelt ES Rucker ES Rusk ES	Scarborough ES Shearn ES Smith, K. ES Stevenson ES Sugar Grove ES Thompson ES Tijerina ES Travis ES Valley West ES Wharton K8 Whidby ES Windsor Village ES Young E. M. Young Scholars Black MS	Clifton MS Edison MS Fleming MS Fondren MS Fonville MS Grady MS Hamilton MS Hartman MS Hogg MS Holland MS Jackson MS Lanier MS Long MS McReynolds MS Pershing MS	Revere MS Sharpstown MS Welch MS West Briar MS Williams MS Austin HS Bellaire HS Energized For STEM Furr HS HSLECJ Jordan HS Lamar HS Milby HS Ninth Grade Prep Scarborough HS Waltrip HS
Academically Acceptable 49, 18%	Ashford ES Benavidez ES Blackshear ES Bruce ES Elrod ES Garcia ES Gregory-Lincoln ES Grimes ES	Gross ES Halpin Center Jefferson ES Lewis ES Memorial ES Petersen ES Robinson ES	Shadowbriar ES Smith, E.O. ES Tinsley ES Wainwright ES Walnut Bend ES Wilson ES Attucks MS Cullen MS	Deady MS Dominion Academy Dowling MS Gregory-Lincoln MS Henry MS Kandy Stripe MS Marshall MS	Ortiz MS Ryan MS Smith, E.O. MS Thomas MS WALIPP MS Woodson MS Chavez HS Davis HS	Houston M/S/T Madison HS Mount Carmel Reagan HS Sterling HS Washington HS Westbury HS	Westside HS Wheatley HS Worthing HS Yates HS
Academically Unacceptable 7, 3%	Frost ES	Kelso ES	Key MS	Jones HS	Kashmere HS*	Lee HS**	Sharpstown HS*
AEA AA, 16, 6%	Advantage East (AA) Carter Career (AA) CLC MS (AA)	CLC HS (AA) Comm. Serv. (AA) HSBE (AA)	Hope (AA) Inspired N. (AA) Inspired W. (AA)	Las Americas MS (AA) Leader's Aca. (AA) Liberty HS (AA)	New Aspirations (AA) Pro-Vision MS (AA)	Reach Charter (AA) Vision Academy (AA)	

AA = AEA:Academically Acceptable AU = AEA:Academically Unacceptable

*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years

** Academically Unacceptable for three consecutive years

October 29, 2010

FINAL TEA 2009 ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS

HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

FINAL PERFORMANCE RATING
(2009 SPRING TAKS)

Exemplary 84, 30%	Alcott ES	De Chaumes ES	Hohl ES	Mading ES	Rhoads ES	Sugar Grove ES	Energized MS	
	Allen ES	De Zavala ES	Horn ES	Martinez, C. ES	The Rice School	Sutton ES	Pin Oak MS	
	Almeda ES	Dodson ES	Houston Gardens ES	Martinez, R. ES	River Oaks ES	TSU Lab ES	Project Chrysalis MS	
	Benbrook ES	Durham ES	Kelso ES	McDade ES	Roberts ES	Turner ES	Rogers, T.H. MS	
	Briar Meadow ES	Field ES	Kennedy ES	Moreno, ES	T. H. Rogers ES	Twain ES	Carnegie Vanguard HS	
	Browning ES	Fondren ES	Ketelsen ES	Neff ES	Roosevelt ES	Valley West ES	Challenge HS	
Burrus ES	Gallegos ES	Kolter ES	Oak Forest ES	Rusk ES	Wainwright ES	DeBaKey HSHP		
Bush ES	Golfcrest ES	Lantrip ES	Osborne ES	Scott ES	West University ES	East Early College HS		
Condit ES	Harris, R. P. ES	Lockhart ES	Parker ES	Scroggins ES	Wharton ES	Eastwood Academy HS		
Cornelius ES	Henderson, JP ES	Looscan ES	Port Houston ES	Seguin ES	White ES	Houston Ac. Intl. Stud.		
Crockett ES	Henderson, N.Q. ES	Lovett ES	Pugh ES	Sherman ES	Whittier ES	N. Houston EC		
Daily, Ray ES	Highland Heights ES	Lyons ES	Red ES	Sinclair ES	Briar Meadow MS	HSPVA		
Recognized 121, 44%	Anderson ES	Codwell ES	St. George Place	Law ES	Piney Point ES	Tinsley ES	West Briar MS	
	Ashford ES	Cook ES	Gordon ES	Lewis ES	Pleasantville ES	Travis ES	Austin HS	
	Atherton ES	Coop ES	Gregg ES	Longfellow ES	Poe ES	Wesley ES	Bellaire HS	
	Barrick ES	Crawford ES	Gregory-Lincoln ES	Love ES	Reynolds ES	Windsor Village ES	Davis HS	
	Bell ES	Crespo ES	Grimes ES	MacArthur ES	Rodriguez ES	Young ES	Empowerment H S	
	Bellfort Academy	Cunningham ES	Grissom ES	MacGregor ES	Ross ES	Young Scholars	Energized for E-STEM	
	Benavidez ES	Dogan ES	Halpin	McNamara ES	Rucker ES	Burbank MS	Furr HS	
	Berry ES	Durkee ES	Harris, J. R.	Memorial ES	Sanchez ES	Fonville MS	Houston Math, Sci, Tech	
	Blackshear ES	Eliot ES	Hartsfield ES	Milne ES	Sands Point ES	Hamilton MS	Jordan HS	
	Bonner ES	Emerson ES	Harvard ES	Mitchell ES	Scarborough ES	Johnston MS	Lamar HS	
	Briargrove ES	Energized ES	Helms	Montgomery ES	Shadowbriar ES	Kaleidoscope MS	HSLECJ	
	Briscoe ES	Foerster ES	Herod ES	Northline ES	Shearn ES	Key MS	Waltrip HS	
	Brookline ES	Foster ES	Herrera ES	Oates ES	Smith, K. ES	Lanier Charter MS	Westside HS	
	Bruce ES	Franklin ES	Hines-Caldwell ES	Paige ES	Southmayd ES	McReynolds MS		
	Burbank ES	Frost ES	Hobby ES	Park Place ES	Stevens ES	Pilgrim Academy		
	Burnet ES	Garcia ES	Isaacs ES	Patterson ES	Stevenson ES	Revere MS		
	Cage ES	Garden Oaks ES	Jones, J. W. ES	Peck ES	Thompson ES	Rice School MS		
	Carrillo ES	Garden Villas ES	Kashmere Gardens	Petersen ES	Tijerina ES	Stevenson MS		
	Academically Acceptable 47, 17%	Askew ES	Jefferson ES	Black MS	Hartman MS	Marshall MS	Woodson MS	Mt. Carmel HS
		Bonham ES	Judson Robinson ES	Cullen MS	Henry MS	Ortiz MS	Chavez HS	Reagan HS
Braeburn ES		Smith, E.O. ES	Deady MS	Hogg MS	Pershing MS	Houston, S. 9 th Gr.	Scarborough HS	
Davila ES		Walnut Bend ES	Edison MS	Holland MS	Sharpstown MS	Jones HS	Washington HS	
Elrod ES		Whidby ES	Fleming MS	Jackson MS	Thomas MS	Madison HS	Wheatley HS	
Gross ES		Wilson ES	Grady MS	Kandy Stripe	Welch MS	Milby HS	Yates HS	
Janowski ES		Woodson ES	Gregory-Lincoln MS	Long MS	Williams MS			
Academically Unacceptable 12, 4%	Attucks MS	Fondren MS*	Smith MS	Kashmere HS	Sharpstown HS	Westbury HS		
	Dowling MS	Ryan MS	Walipp MS	Lee HS*	Sterling HS	Worthing HS*		
AEA AA, 12, 4%	Advantage East (AA)	CLC MS (AA)	Comm. Serv. (AA)	Las Americas MS (AA)	Liberty HS (AA)	Pro-Vision MS (AA)		
	Carter Career (AA)	CLC HS (AA)	Inspired N. (AA)	Leader's Aca. (AA)	New Aspirations (AA)	Reach Charter (AA)		
Ike Provision Not Rated 4	Bastian ES	Clifton MS	Dominion Ac. MS	Inspired Ac. W.				

AA = Academically Acceptable AU = Academically Unacceptable

*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years

October 28, 2009

Final TEA 2008 ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS

HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

FINAL PERFORMANCE RATING
(2008 SPRING TAKS)

Exemplary 38, 14%	Allen ES Bush ES Condit ES Cornelius ES De Zavala ES Harvard ES	Henderson, JP Horn ES Juan N. Seguin ES Kennedy ES Kolter ES Lantrip ES	Lockhart ES Love ES Lovett ES Lyons ES Mading ES Memorial ES	Montgomery ES Oates ES River Oaks ES Sugar Grove ES T. H. Rogers ES The Rice School	TSU Lab ES Twain ES West University ES White ES	Briar Meadow MS Energized MS Pin Oak MS Project Chrysalis Rogers, T.H. MS	Carnegie Vanguard DeBaKey HSHP East Early College Eastwood Academy HSPVA
Recognized 119, 43%	Alcott ES Almeda ES Anderson Charter Askew ES Atherton ES Barrick ES Bastian ES Bell ES Benbrook ES Briar Grove ES Briar Meadow ES Briscoe ES Brookline ES Browning ES Burbank ES Burnet ES Burrus ES Cage ES	Carrillo ES Codwell ES Coop ES Crawford ES Crespo ES Crockett ES Daily, Ray Davila ES De Chaumes ES Durham ES Durkee ES Eliot ES Emerson ES Energized ES Field ES Foster ES Frost ES Gallegos ES	Garcia ES Garden Oaks Garden Villas Golfcrest ES Gordon ES Gregory-Lincoln ES Grissom ES Harris, J. R. Harris, R. P. Hartsfield ES Helms CLC Henderson, N.Q. Herod ES Herrera ES Highland Heights Hines-Caldwell Isaacs ES Jefferson ES	Jones, J. W. ES Kelso ES Ketelsen ES Law ES Lewis ES Longfellow ES Looscan ES MacArthur ES MacGregor ES Martinez, C. ES Martinez, R. ES McDade ES Milne ES Moreno, ES Neff ES Oak Forest ES Osborne ES Paige ES	Park Place ES Parker ES Patterson ES Peck ES Piney Point ES Port Houston ES Pugh ES Red ES Reynolds ES Roberts ES Roosevelt ES Ross ES Rucker ES Sanchez ES Sands Point ES Scarborough ES Scott ES Scroggins ES	Shearn ES Sherman ES Sinclair ES Smith, K. ES St. George Place St. John's ES Stevenson ES Sutton ES Thompson ES Travis ES Valley West ES Wainwright ES Wesley ES Wharton ES Whidby ES Windsor Village	Young Scholars Burbank MS Clifton MS Fonville MS Hamilton MS Kaleidoscope MS Lanier Charter MS Pilgrim Academy Rice School MS Challenge HS Empowerment H S HSLECJ Lamar HS
Academically Acceptable 96, 35%	Ashford ES Bellfort Academy Berry ES Blackshear ES Bonham ES Bonner ES Braeburn ES Bruce ES Cunningham ES Dodson ES Dogan ES Elrod ES Felix Cook Jr. ES Foerster ES	Franklin ES Gregg ES Grimes ES Gross ES Halpin ES Hobby ES Hohl ES Houston Gardens ES Janowski ES Judson Robinson ES Kashmere Gardens McNamara ES Mitchell ES Northline ES	Petersen ES Pleasantville ES Poe ES Rhoads ES Rodriguez ES Rusk ES Shadowbriar ES Southmayd ES Stevens ES Tijerina ES Tinsley ES Turner ES Walnut Bend ES Whittier ES	Wilson ES Woodson ES Young ES Attucks MS Black MS Cullen MS Daniel Ortiz Jr. MS Deady MS Dominion Academy Dowling MS Edison MS Fleming MS Grady MS Gregory-Lincoln MS	Hartman MS Henry MS Hogg MS Holland MS Jackson MS Johnston MS Kandy Stripe Key MS Marshall MS McReynolds MS Pershing MS Revere MS Ryan MS Sharpstown MS	Smith MS Stevenson MS Thomas MS Walipp MS Welch MS West Briar MS Williams MS Austin HS Bellaire HS Chavez HS Davis HS Furr HS Houston Ac. Intl. Jordan HS	Kashmere HS Madison HS Milby HS Reagan HS Scarborough HS Sharpstown HS Sterling HS Waltrip HS Washington HS Westbury HS Westside HS Yates HS
Academically Unacceptable 13, 4%	Benavidez ES Fondren ES Pleasant Hill ES*	Fondren MS Smith, E.O. ES**	Long MS Woodson MS	Comm. Serv. Houston HS***	Jones HS Lee HS	Wheatley HS Worthing HS	
AEA AA, 8, 3% AU, 2, 1%	ALTA HS (AA) CLC MS (AA)	CLC HS (AA) Carter Career (AA)	Las Americas MS (AA) Leader's Aca. (AU)	Liberty HS (AA) New Aspirations (AU)	Pro-Vision MS (AA) Reach Charter (AA)		

AA = Academically Acceptable AU = Academically Unacceptable

*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years.

** Academically Unacceptable for three consecutive years.

*** Academically Unacceptable for six consecutive years

October 9, 2008

FINAL TEA 2007 ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS

HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

**FINAL PERFORMANCE RATING
(2007 SPRING TAKS and SDAAs)**

Exemplary 15, 5%	Bush ES Condit ES Cornelius ES	Horn ES Kolter ES Lyons ES	Oak Forest ES River Oaks ES Roberts ES	Rogers, T.H. ES West University ES	Energized MS	Carnegie Vanguard DeBakey HS East Early College	
Recognized 69, 25%	Alcott ES Allen ES Askew ES Banneker/McNair Barrick ES Bell ES Blackshear ES Braeburn ES Briargrove ES Browning ES	Burbank ES Burnet ES Burrus ES Cage ES Carrillo ES Codwell ES Crawford ES De Zavala ES Energized ES Frost ES	Harvard ES Henderson, J.P. ES Herrera ES Kashmere Gardens Kennedy ES Lockhart ES Lovett ES Martinez, C., ES Martinez, R., ES Milne ES	Montgomery ES Moreno ES Oates ES Osborne ES Peck ES Pilgrim ES Piney Point ES Red ES Rice School ES Roosevelt ES	Ross ES Scott ES Scroggins ES Seguin ES Sherman ES Sugar Grove ES Sutton ES Travis ES TSU Charter ES Twain ES	Wainwright ES Wesley ES Wharton ES White ES Burbank MS Briarmeadow MS Clifton MS Hamilton MS Kaleidoscope MS Key MS	Lanier MS Pin Oak MS Project Chrysalis MS Rice MS Rogers, T.H. MS Stevenson MS Challenge HS Eastwood HS HSPVA
Academically Acceptable 169, 61%	Almeda ES Anderson ES Ashford ES Atherton ES Bastian ES Bellfort Acad. ES Benavidez ES Benbrook ES Berry ES Bonham ES Bonner ES Bowie ES Briarmeadow ES Briscoe ES Brookline ES Bruce ES Cook ES Coop ES Crespo ES Crockett ES Cunningham ES Davila ES DeChaumes ES Dodson ES Durham ES	Durkee ES Eliot ES Emerson ES Fairchild ES Field ES Foerster ES Fondren ES Foster ES Franklin ES Gallegos ES Garcia ES Garden Oaks ES Garden Villas ES Golfcrest ES Gordon ES Gregg ES Gregory-Lincoln ES Grimes ES Grissom ES Gross ES Halpin ECC Harris, J.R. ES Harris, R.P. ES Hartsfield ES Helms ES	Henderson, N. ES Herod ES Highland Heights ES Hines-Caldwell ES Hobby ES Hohl ES Houston Gardens Isaacs ES Janowski ES Jefferson ES Jones, J. W. ES Kandy Stripe Kelso ES Ketelsen ES Lantrip ES Law ES Lewis ES Longfellow ES Looscan ES Love ES MacArthur ES MacGregor ES Mading ES McDade ES Memorial ES	Mitchell ES Neff ES Northline ES Park Place ES Parker ES Patterson ES Pleasantville ES Poe ES Port Houston ES Pugh ES Reynolds ES Rhoads ES Robinson ES Rodriguez ES Rusk ES Sanchez ES Sands Point ES Scarborough ES School at Post Oak Shadowbriar ES Shearn ES Sinclair ES Smith, K. ES Southmayd ES Stevens ES	Stevenson ES Thompson ES Tijerina ES Tinsley ES Turner ES Valley West ES Walnut Bend ES Whidby ES Whittier ES Wilson ES Windsor Village ES Woodson ES Young ES Young Scholars ES Attucks MS Black MS Cullen MS Deady MS Dominion Charter Edison MS Fleming MS Fondren MS Fonville MS Grady MS Gregory-Lincoln MS	Hartman MS Henry MS Hogg MS Holland MS Jackson MS Johnston MS Long MS Marshall MS McReynolds MS Ortiz Jr. MS Pershing MS Revere MS Sharpstown MS Smith, E.O. MS Thomas MS WALIPP MS Welch MS West Briar MS Williams MS Woodson MS Austin HS Bellaire HS Chavez HS Davis HS Empowerment HS	HSLECJ International HS Jones HS Jordan HS Kashmere HS Lamar HS Lee HS Madison HS Milby HS Reagan HS Scarborough HS Sterling HS Waltrip HS Washington HS Westbury HS Westside HS Wheatley HS Worthing HS Yates HS
Academically Unacceptable 13 5%	Dogan ES Elrod ES	McNamara ES Petersen ES*	Pleasant Hill ES Rucker ES	Smith, E.O. ES* Dowling MS	Las Americas MS Ryan MS*	Furr HS Houston HS**	Sharpstown HS
AEA AA, 7, 3% AU, 2, 1%	ALTA HS (AA) CLC MS (AU)	CLC HS (AA) Comm. Serv. (AA)	Houston Night HS (AU) Pro-Vision MS (AA)	Carter Career (AA) Newcomer HS (AA)	Reach Charter (AA)		

AA = Academically Acceptable AU = Academically Unacceptable

*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years.

**Academically Unacceptable for five consecutive years.

October 10, 2007

Final TEA 2006 ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS

HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

**CURRENT PERFORMANCE RATING
(2006 SPRING TAKS, SDAA, 2004-05 Dropout/Completion)**

Exemplary 15, 5%	Bush ES Condit ES Cornelius ES	Kennedy ES Kolter ES Lyons ES	Pilgrim ES Pugh ES River Oaks ES	Roberts ES Rogers, T.H. ES Sugar Grove ES	West University ES Carnegie Vanguard DeBaKey HS		
Recognized 64, 23%	Askew ES Atherton ES Barrick ES Bell ES Briargrove ES Browning ES Burrus ES Cage ES Carrillo ES Crawford ES	Crockett ES De Zavala ES Durham ES Easter ES Grissom ES Harris, J.R. ES Harvard ES Helms ES Henderson, J.P. ES Herod ES	Herrera ES Horn ES Jones, A. ES Lantrip ES Lewis ES Lockhart ES Lovett ES MacGregor ES Mading ES McDade ES	Memorial ES Mitchell ES Montgomery ES Oates ES Parker ES Patterson ES Piney Point ES Red ES Rice School ES Roosevelt ES	Scott ES School at Post Oak Scroggins ES Smith, K. ES Stevenson ES Sutton ES Twain ES Valley West ES Walnut Bend ES Wharton ES	White ES Wilson ES Windsor Village ES Briar meadow MS Clifton MS Dominion Charter Energized MS Lanier MS Project Chrysalis Rice MS	Rogers, T.H. MS Stevenson MS Challenge Early College HSPVA
Academically Acceptable 159, 57%	Alcott ES Allen ES Almeda ES Anderson ES Ashford ES Banneker-McNair Bastian ES Bellfort Acad. ES Benavidez ES Berry ES Blackshear ES Bonham ES Bonner ES Bowie ES Braeburn ES Briar meadow ES Briscoe ES Brookline ES Burbank ES Burnet ES Chatham ES Codwell ES Concord ES	Coop ES Crespo ES Cunningham ES Davila ES DeChaumes ES Dodson ES Dogan ES Durkee ES Eliot ES Elrod ES Emerson ES Energized ES Fairchild ES Field ES Foerster ES Fondren ES Foster ES Franklin ES Frost ES Gallegos ES Garcia ES Garden Oaks ES Garden Villas ES	Golfcrest ES Gordon ES Gregg ES Gregory-Lincoln ES Grimes ES Gross ES Harris, R.P. ES Hartsfield ES Henderson, N. ES Highland Heights ES Hines-Caldwell ES Hobby ES Hohl ES Isaacs ES Janowski ES Jefferson ES Jones, J. W. ES Kandy Stripe Kashmere Gardens Kelso ES Ketelsen ES Law ES Longfellow ES	Looscan ES Martinez, C., ES Martinez, R., ES McNamara ES Milne ES Moreno ES Neff ES Northline ES Oak Forest ES Osborne ES Park Place ES Peck ES Pleasant Hill ES Pleasantville ES Poe ES Port Houston ES Reynolds ES Rhoads ES Robinson ES Rodriguez ES Rogers, W., ES Ross ES Rucker ES	Rusk ES Sanchez ES Sanderson ES Sands Point ES Scarborough ES Seguin ES Shadowbriar ES Sherman ES Sinclair ES Southmayd ES Stevens ES Thompson ES Tijerina ES Tinsley ES Travis ES TSU/HISD ES Turner ES Wainwright ES Wesley ES Whidby ES Whittier ES Woodson ES Young ES	Young Scholars ES Westbank MS Deady MS Dowling MS Edison MS Fleming MS Fondren MS Fonville MS Grady MS Gregory-Lincoln MS Hamilton MS Hartman MS Hogg MS Holland MS Jackson MS Johnston MS Kaleidoscope MS Long MS Ortiz Jr. MS Pershing MS Pin Oak MS Revere MS Smith, E.O. MS	Welch MS West Briar MS Williams MS Austin HS Bellaire HS Chavez HS Davis HS Eastwood HS Empowerment HS Furr HS HSLECJ Barbara Jordan HS Lamar HS Lee HS Madison HS Middle College HS Milby HS Sharpstown HS Waltrip HS Westside HS Worthing HS
Academically Unacceptable 32, 11%	Benbrook ES Bruce ES Houston Gardens Love ES MacArthur ES	Petersen ES Shearn ES Smith, E.O. ES Attucks MS* Black MS	Cullen MS Henry MS Key MS* Marshall MS McReynolds MS**	Ryan MS Sharpstown MS Thomas MS* WALIPP MS Woodson MS	Houston HS*** Jones HS* Kashmere HS*** Newcomer Charter Reach Charter	Reagan HS Scarborough HS Sterling HS Washington HS Westbury HS	Wheatley HS* Yates HS
AEA 9 AA, 3% 1 AU, 1%	ALTA HS (AA) Carter Career (AA)	CLC MS (AA) CLC HS (AA)	Comm. Serv. (AA) Houston Night HS (AA)	Kay On-going MS (AA) Kay On-going HS (AA)	Pro-Vision MS (AA) Drop Back In HS (AU)*		

AA = Academically Acceptable AU = Academically Unacceptable Schools with ratings changed after appeals are bolded.

Revised October 12, 2006

*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years.

Academically Unacceptable for three consecutive years. *Academically Unacceptable for four consecutive years.