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Purpose

• Expands the public education accountability system in Texas to Financial Services.

• Originated by SB875 of the 76th Texas Legislature in 1999.

• Updated in 2015 to reflect Commissioner’s Rule changes authorized by the Texas Legislature in 2013.

• Indicators developed by Texas Business and Education Council (TBEC), Texas Education Agency (TEA), Comptroller’s Office, and the Texas Association of School Business Officials (TASBO).

• **Primary goal:** to improve management of school districts’ financial resources.
Objectives

• Assess quality of financial management in Texas public schools.
• Measure and report extent to which financial resources are allocated for direct instructional purposes.
• Fairly evaluate quality of financial management decisions.
• Openly report results to general public.
Overview

• School FIRST rating lags a year. This presentation is based on 2013-2014 data.
• Rating based on 7 indicators
• District’s School FIRST rating can impact special education determination status
Rating Categories

• Numerical scores based on 3 indicators, each worth 10 points, and 4 critical indicators evaluated as yes/no.

• **Overall Pass** = District must receive a “yes” on all four critical indicators and >16 points.

• **Overall Fail (Substandard Achievement)** = District earns a “no” on any of the 4 critical indicators and/or <16 points on the fiscal performance indicators.
Critical Indicators 1-4

1. Was the district’s annual financial report (CAFR) completed and submitted to TEA by the deadline established by TEA?
2. Was there an unmodified opinion in the CAFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The external auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion).
3. Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end?
4. Was the total unrestricted net asset balance in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Assets greater than zero?

• A “no” answer to any of these indicators is an automatic rating of Substandard Achievement (Fail).
Fiscal Performance Indicator 5-7

5. Was the school district’s administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio?
6. Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district’s CAFR result in total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function (Data Quality Measure)?
7. Did the external independent auditor report that the CAFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds?

- Districts may earn up to 10 points on each of these indicators.
What is HISD’s Rating?

Overall Pass

– HISD received a total score of 30 on the fiscal indicators and a “yes” on all critical indicators.
– The district has received the highest rating possible as established under guidelines and rules established by the Texas Education Agency.
HISD’s Critical Indicators 1-3

Results

1. Was the district’s annual financial report (CAFR) completed and submitted to TEA by the deadline established by TEA?
   - Asks whether the CAFR was submitted to TEA on time (12/28/14)
   - HISD submitted the 2014 CAFR on 11/25/14

2. Was there an unmodified opinion in the CAFR on the financial statements as a whole?
   - A “modified” version of the auditor’s opinion would mean the district needs to correct reporting or financial controls.
   - HISD received an “unmodified” opinion from the auditor and passed this indicator.
   - This indicator communicates the district received a “clean audit.”

3. Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end?
   - This indicator seeks to make certain the district has paid all financing obligations.
   - HISD had no default disclosures in 2014 and passed this indicator.
Critical Indicator 4

- Was the total unrestricted net asset balance in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Assets greater than zero?
  - This indicator asks, “did the district’s total assets exceed the total amount of liabilities?”
  - HISD= Yes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Unrestricted Net Asset Balance</td>
<td>$ 700,219,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accretion of Interest for Capital Appreciation Bonds</td>
<td>$ 81,264,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Expense and Net Pension Liability</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 781,483,230</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$ 781,483,230 > 0
Fiscal Performance Indicator 5

• Was the administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the standard in state law?
  – TEA and state law sets a cap on the percentage of the budget that Texas school districts can spend on administration. Indicator 5 asks, “did you exceed the cap for districts of your size?”
  – The cap is 11.05% for large districts.
  – In Fiscal Year 2013-2014, HISD’s ratio was 4.92%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Administrative Expenditures</th>
<th>$ 45,916,833</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Function 21-Instructional Leadership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function 41-General Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instructional Expenditures</th>
<th>$ 934,182,643</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Function 11-Instruction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function 12-Instructional Resource Media</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function 13-Curriculum and Staff Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function 31-Guidance and Counseling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\text{Administrative Cost Ratio} = \frac{45,916,833}{934,182,643} = 0.0492
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012-2013 Administrative Cost Ratio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fiscal Performance Indicator 5

Administrative Cost Ratio Comparison

- Cy-Fair: 3.65%
- Katy: 3.94%
- Alief: 4.70%
- Houston: 4.92%
- Fort Bend: 5.00%
- Aldine: 5.82%
- Spring Branch: 5.98%
- Austin: 6.65%
- San Antonio: 6.97%
- Dallas: 7.35%
- Goose Creek: 8.18%

Goose Creek, Dallas, San Antonio, Austin, Spring Branch, Aldine, Fort Bend, Houston, Alief, Cy-Fair.
Administrative Cost Indicator 5

History of Administrative Cost Indicator

- HISD Ratio
- TEA Standard

H O U S T O N  I N D E P E N D E N T  S C H O O L  D I S T R I C T
PEIMS Data Variance Indicator 6

Did the comparison of PEIMS data to like information in the school district’s CAFR result in total variance of <3% of all expenditures by function?

- This indicator measures the quality of data reported to PEIMS and in the CAFR to make certain that the data reported in each case “matches up.”
- HISD variance is < 0.03

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sum of Differences</th>
<th>$</th>
<th>1,257</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenditures</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>1,587,153,189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Indicator 7 Results

• Did the external independent auditor report that the CAFR was free of instances of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds?
  – A clean audit would state the district has no material weaknesses in internal controls and can properly account for its use of public funds.
  – Auditors did not report weak internal controls, and HISD passed this indicator.
Management Report
Reimbursements (Board and Superintendent)

School FIRST Annual Financial Management Report


The template has been established to help the districts in gathering their data and presenting it at their School FIRST hearing. The template may not be all inclusive.

Expenditures Paid on Behalf of Superintendent and School Board Members, Including Reimbursements

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended June 30, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Reimbursements</th>
<th>Dr. Terry B. Grier</th>
<th>Anna Eastman</th>
<th>Rhonda Skillern-Jones</th>
<th>Harvin C. Moore</th>
<th>Juliet K. Stipeche</th>
<th>Lawrence Marshall</th>
<th>Wanda Adams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meals</td>
<td>$3,271.80</td>
<td>$145.18</td>
<td>$291.41</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$152.27</td>
<td>$127.05</td>
<td>$53.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodging</td>
<td>$3,497.54</td>
<td>$200.70</td>
<td>$1,926.21</td>
<td>$1,483.98</td>
<td>$1,387.98</td>
<td>$2,676.78</td>
<td>$781.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>12,807.54</td>
<td>740.30</td>
<td>2,376.26</td>
<td>1,097.60</td>
<td>1,744.20</td>
<td>2,058.20</td>
<td>1,003.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Fuel</td>
<td>439.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>481.78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1,080.00</td>
<td>1,001.10</td>
<td>1,628.74</td>
<td>918.65</td>
<td>446.00</td>
<td>1,547.20</td>
<td>273.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>27,762.30</td>
<td>4,177.28</td>
<td>4,188.80</td>
<td>4,111.51</td>
<td>4,188.80</td>
<td>4,766.06</td>
<td>3,730.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outside Compensation and/or Fees Received by the Superintendent for Professional Consulting and/or Other Personal Services

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended June 30, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dr. Terry B. Grier</th>
<th>AASA’s The Superintendent’s Academy</th>
<th>Public Education</th>
<th>Foundation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount Received</td>
<td>$16,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Business Transactions Between School District and Board Members

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended June 30, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amounts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The summary amounts reported under this disclosure are not to duplicate the items disclosed in the summary schedule of reimbursements received by board members.
Superintendent’s 2009 Employment Contract and Amendments included in presentation packet as required
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